

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problems Mailbox.**

**CORRECTED  
VERSION\***

**PCT**

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau




AI

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :		A3	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/36728</b>
A61F 13/00			(43) International Publication Date: 27 August 1998 (27.08.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/03584		(74) Agents: DAVIDSON, Clifford, M. et al.; Steinberg, Raskin & Davidson, P.C., 1140 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).	
(22) International Filing Date: 24 February 1998 (24.02.98)			
(30) Priority Data:		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).	
60/038,919 08/939,068	24 February 1997 (24.02.97) 29 September 1997 (29.09.97)	US	
(63) Related by Continuation (CON) or Continuation-in-Part (CIP) to Earlier Application			
US	Not furnished (CIP)		
Filed on	Not furnished		
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): EURO-CELTIQUE, S.A. [LU/LU]; 122, boulevard de la Petrusse, L-2330 Luxembourg (LU).		Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	
(72) Inventors; and			
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): REDER, Robert, F. [US/US]; 2 Mercia Lane, Greenwich, CT 06830 (US). GOLDENHEIM, Paul, D. [US/US]; 4 Bald Hill Place, Wilton, CT 06897 (US).		(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 10 December 1998 (10.12.98)	

(54) Title: SUSTAINED ANALGESIA ACHIEVED WITH BUPRENORPHINE



(57) Abstract

The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans is achieved by administering buprenorphine in a manner indicative of first order pharmacokinetics over an initial three-day dosing interval, such that a maximum plasma concentration from about 20 pg/ml to about 1052 pg/ml is attained, and thereafter maintaining the administration of buprenorphine for at least an additional two-day dosing interval in a manner indicative of zero order kinetics, such that the patients experience analgesia throughout the at least two-day additional dosing interval.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

SUSTAINED ANALGESIA ACHIEVED WITH BUPRENORPHINEFIELD OF THE INVENTION

5

The invention is related to the use of a pharmaceutical medicament for treating patients in pain with buprenorphine which achieves prolonged and effective pain management.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15

It is the intent of all sustained-release pharmaceutical preparations to provide a longer period of pharmacologic effect after the administration of a drug than is ordinarily experienced after the administration of immediate release preparations of the same drug. Such longer periods of efficacy can provide many inherent therapeutic benefits that are not achieved with corresponding immediate release preparations. The benefits of prolonged analgesia afforded by sustained release oral analgesic preparations have become universally recognized and oral opioid analgesic sustained-release preparations are commercially available.

20

Prolonged analgesia is particularly desirable in patients suffering from moderate to severe pain, such as cancer patients. Available oral preparations provide a duration of effect lasting e.g., about twelve hours (and sometimes 24 hours) such that a drug may only have to be administered to a patient one to three times a day. For example, morphine, which has been considered to be the prototypic opioid analgesic, has been formulated into twice-daily, oral controlled release formulations (e.g., MS Contin® tablets, commercially available from The Purdue Frederick Company).

25

Another approach to sustained delivery of a therapeutically active agent are transdermal delivery devices, such as transdermal patches. Generally, transdermal patches contain a therapeutically active agent (e.g., an opioid analgesic), a reservoir or

5

matrix containing the opioid or other active ingredient(s) and an adhesive which allows the transdermal device to adhere to the skin, allowing for the passage of the active agent from the device through the skin of the patient. Once the active agent has penetrated the skin layer, the drug is absorbed into the blood stream where it can exert a desired pharmacotherapeutic effect, such as analgesia.

10

Transdermal delivery devices in which an opioid analgesic is the active ingredient have been contemplated. For example, a commercially available opioid analgesic transdermal formulation is Duragesic® (commercially available from Janssen Pharmaceutical; active ingredient is fentanyl). The Duragesic® patch is said to provide adequate analgesia for up to 48 to 72 hours (2 to 3 days).

15

Buprenorphine, a partially synthetic opiate, has also been contemplated for prolonged analgesia. Although other types of opioid analgesic transdermal formulations have been reported in the literature (such as fentanyl, discussed above), buprenorphine transdermal delivery devices are of particular interest because buprenorphine is a potent, partial agonist opioid analgesic with desirable therapeutic properties. For example, buprenorphine is 50 to 100 times more potent than morphine, but has a much safer therapeutic index than morphine (see Wallenstein SL, *et al.*, Crossover Trials in Clinical Analgesic Assays: Studies of Buprenorphine and Morphine, *Pharmacotherapy*, G(5): 225-235, 1986 hereby incorporated by reference). Further, the partial agonist properties of buprenorphine are useful in the treatment of opioid addiction.

20

There are several types of transdermal formulations of buprenorphine reported in the literature. See, for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,240,711 (Hille *et al.*), U.S. Patent No. 5,225,199 (Hidaka *et al.*), U.S. Patent No. 5,069,909 (Sharma *et al.*), U.S. Patent No. 4,806,341 (Chien *et al.*), and U.S. Patent No. 5,026,556 (Drust *et al.*), all of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

25

5  
10  
15  
20  
25

Buprenorphine has a low oral bioavailability and has been considered by certain of those skilled in the art to be like other narcotics which are habit-forming (see, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 5,240,711 to Hille, *et.al.*) and induce tolerance (see, e.g., U.S. Patent No. 5,613,958 to Kochinke, *et. al.*). As reported in Hille, *et.al.*, experts are of the opinion that the form of administration of a medicinal drug contributes to the risk of addiction, and higher than necessary blood levels created immediately after administration of a drug such as buprenorphine, followed by a drastic decrease (causing in succession euphoria and then ineffective pain treatment), cause the patient to start to long for the next dosage (referred to as an "iatrogenic" addiction). In the case of buprenorphine, Hille, *et al.* reported that continuous infusion would be considered the most suitable mode to avoid such an iatrogenic addition by providing constant blood levels; however, continuous infusion requires physician control and insertion of a cannula (which may cause inflammation at the site). This problem is considered to be overcome by Hille, *et al.* by virtue of their use of a transdermal delivery device which includes buprenorphine or one of its pharmaceutically compatible salts and which releases the drug over a period of at least 24 hours in a controlled manner, and ensures that the buprenorphine does not notably decompose when the transdermal delivery device is stored, and which further ensures that the buprenorphine in-vivo penetrates through the skin at the required amount.

Kochinke *et al.* describe a transdermal device for the modulated administration of tolerance-inducing drugs. Buprenorphine is identified therein as such a drug. The device is designed to deliver the drug through the patient's skin via a three-phase drug delivery profile. In the first phase, which begins with patch application and ends at 2-10 hours after patch application, plasma levels of the drug are obtained. This phase is followed by a second phase in which therapeutic plasma levels of the drug are maintained. The second phase begins at about two to ten hours after patch application and ends at about 8-18 hours after patch application. In a third phase, sub-therapeutic levels of the drug are maintained, via inherent patch design

5

and/or patch removal. The rationale behind the drug delivery profile of Kochinke *et al.* is that initial high blood levels may be more effective when followed by a period of decreasing dosage (down to sub-therapeutic levels), than if the blood levels are maintained either at the higher or lower level (i.e., sub-therapeutic levels) throughout the entire administration period. By virtue of this modulated profile, it is said that the onset of tolerance to the drug being administered can be prevented or greatly reduced.

10

15

Despite these advances in the art, there remains a need for methods of treating patients with buprenorphine that provide effective analgesic levels of buprenorphine for prolonged periods of time while eliminating or minimizing dependence, tolerance, and side effects, thus providing a safe and effective method of pain management. Further, there remains a need for a transdermal formulation of an opioid analgesic, preferably, buprenorphine, that provides effective analgesic levels of buprenorphine for periods of time beyond that contemplated or practical in the prior art, while eliminating or minimizing dependence, tolerance, and side effects, thus providing a safe and effective method of pain management.

#### DISCLOSURE OF THE INVENTION

20

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method and pharmaceutical formulation (medicament) which allows for reduced plasma concentrations of buprenorphine over a prolonged time period than possible according to prior art methods, while still providing effective pain management.

25

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a method and pharmaceutical formulation (medicament) for treating patients in pain with buprenorphine which achieves prolonged and effective pain management, while at the same time provides the opportunity to reduce side effects, dependence and tolerance which the patients may experience when subjected to prolonged treatment with a narcotic such as buprenorphine.

5

It is yet a further object to provide a method and pharmaceutical formulation (medicament) for the treatment of pain in patients by utilizing a transdermal delivery device which contains buprenorphine in a manner which maximizes the dosage interval, i.e., the interval during which the transdermal delivery device is maintained in contact with the skin, and minimizes the plasma concentrations in the patients during the dosage interval, while surprisingly maintaining effective pain management.

10

A further object of the invention is to provide a method and pharmaceutical formulation (medicament) for treating opioid-addicted patients in a manner which gradually reduces the plasma concentration of opioid in patients' plasma while at the same time providing effective plasma concentrations for those patients to be detoxified.

15

In accordance with the above objectives and others, the invention is directed in part to the surprising result that effective pain management is provided by the use of a buprenorphine medicament(s) providing a substantially first order (i.e., indicative of first order pharmacokinetics) rate of increase of blood plasma concentrations of buprenorphine over about a three day (e.g., 72 hours) time interval, followed by a prolonged time period of at least about two days (e.g., 48 hours) during which the plasma concentrations of buprenorphine are maintained according to substantially zero order pharmacokinetics (i.e., indicative of zero order pharmacokinetics).

20

The invention is further directed to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising administering buprenorphine to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 0.3 to about 113 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 3 to about 296 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 11 to about 644 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 13 to about 630 pg/ml at about 30 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration

25

from about 15 to about 715 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 984 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 21 to about 914 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 24 to about 850 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 19 to about 850 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. In certain preferred embodiments, the dosing interval is maintained over a seven day period.

The invention further relates to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament wherein the buprenorphine is contained in a transdermal delivery device and when placed onto the skin of patients provides a release rate of buprenorphine over about a 72 hour dosing interval such that a maximum plasma concentration from about 20 pg/ml to about 850 pg/ml is attained (depending upon the dosage levels needed to maintain analgesia in the particular patients), the medicament when maintained on the skin of the patients for at least an additional 24 hour interval providing plasma concentrations of buprenorphine in the patients above the minimum effective concentrations of the buprenorphine, such that the patients continue to experience effective pain management during this additional dosing interval.

The invention is further directed to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising administering buprenorphine transdermally to human patients such that mean relative release rates are achieved as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about 3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 86  $\mu$ g/hr from initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours thereafter; and a mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until at least about 120 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval. In certain preferred embodiments, the mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr is maintained from about 72

hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until at least about 168 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval.

5 The present invention is further related to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising administering buprenorphine transdermally to human patients such that a mean relative release rate from about 3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 86  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  of buprenorphine is achieved until about 72 hours after the application of a transdermal delivery device, and thereafter providing (either with the same transdermal delivery device or upon removal 10 of the device and replacement with a different transdermal delivery device) a mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until at least about 120 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval, and preferably until at least about 168 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval.

15 The invention described herein contemplates the use of buprenorphine transdermal medicament designed to be provide analgesia for about 72 hours, and which provides a release rate of the drug when applied to the skin which generally follows first order pharmacokinetics over that 72 hour period, and further comprises taking advantage of the fact that such transdermal delivery devices typically provide 20 a dramatic drop-off in the release rate of buprenorphine after the first 72 hours, but nevertheless provide a relatively small but sufficient release of buprenorphine to maintain analgesia and desirable plasma concentrations in the patients over a further period of time of at least, e.g., preferably at least 48 hours, by leaving the transdermal delivery device in contact with the skin of the patient for such additional desired dosing interval, which may be as long as, e.g., an additional 96 hours or more. Surprisingly, 25 it has been found that such transdermal dosage devices exhibit substantially zero order release after about the initial 72 hour dosage interval, and therefore are capable of maintaining effective plasma concentrations of buprenorphine for a much longer period than previously reported in the prior art. However, the inventive method also

5

10

15

20

25

contemplates the possibility of utilizing a first transdermal delivery device which provides the desired substantially first order kinetics, and thereafter the removal of the first transdermal delivery device and its replacement with a second device which provides the desired substantially zero order pharmacokinetics for a prolonged period of time (e.g., at least about 24 hours, preferably at least about 48 hours, and most preferably about 96 hours). This second buprenorphine medicament may be a second transdermal delivery device which provides the afore-mentioned mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr. On the other hand, the second buprenorphine medicament may even utilize a different mode of administration, for example, continuous infusion.

The present invention is also related, in part, to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for effectively treating pain in patients, comprising applying onto the skin of the patients a transdermal delivery medicament containing buprenorphine which transdermal delivery medicament delivers the buprenorphine substantially according to first order kinetics to provide a mean plasma concentration from about 24 to about 850 pg/ml about 3 days after application, the transdermal buprenorphine medicament being maintained in contact with the skin of the human patient for about 2 to about 6 additional days, such that the patient continues to receive effective analgesia from the transdermal buprenorphine formulation.

The invention is also directed to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for treating pain in human patients by applying a 3 day transdermal delivery medicament containing buprenorphine onto the skin of the patient and maintaining the transdermal delivery medicament in contact with the skin for a 3 day dosing interval, the transdermal delivery medicament containing an amount of buprenorphine sufficient to provide effective analgesia in the patient for about 3 days, wherein the transdermal delivery medicament is maintained in contact with the patient's skin for at least 2 to about 6 additional days beyond the 3 day dosing interval.

5  
10

The present invention is also related, in part, to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for effectively treating pain in patients, comprising applying a transdermal delivery device containing buprenorphine as the active ingredient onto the skin of patients which provide a first order release rate of buprenorphine over a three-day dosing interval, such that a maximum plasma concentration from about 20 pg/ml to about 1052 pg/ml is attained, and maintaining said transdermal delivery devices on the skin of the patients for at least an additional two-day dosing interval during which said transdermal delivery device provides substantially zero order kinetics, such that the patients experience analgesia throughout the at least two-day additional dosing interval.

15  
20  
25

The present invention is also related, in part, to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for effectively treating pain in patients, comprising applying transdermal delivery devices containing buprenorphine as the active ingredient onto the skin of patients which provide a release indicative of first order pharmacokinetics, e.g., release rate of buprenorphine over a first three-day dosing interval, such that a mean plasma concentration from about 21 to about 1052 pg/ml is attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device; and maintaining said transdermal delivery devices on the skin of the patients for at least an additional two-day dosing interval during which said transdermal delivery device provides release indicative of zero order kinetics, such that a mean relative release rate from about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr is maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval and the patients experience analgesia throughout the at least two-day additional dosing interval. In certain preferred embodiments, the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 85 to about 263 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 13  $\mu$ g/hr to about 21  $\mu$ g/hr. In further preferred embodiments, the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from

about 20 to about 66 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 0.6  $\mu$ g/hr. In yet further preferred embodiments, the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 42 to about 5

132 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 0.7  $\mu$ g/hr to about 1  $\mu$ g/hr. In still further preferred embodiments, the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 169 to about 526 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 2  $\mu$ g/hr to about 4  $\mu$ g/hr. In still further preferred embodiments, the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 254 to about 789 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 4  $\mu$ g/hr to about 7  $\mu$ g/hr. In still further preferred embodiments, the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 339 to about 1052 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 5  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr.

10

15

20 The present invention also relates to the use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of treating opioid addiction by administering buprenorphine transdermally (via a buprenorphine transdermal delivery device) to human patients which provides a release rate of the drug when applied to the skin which generally follows first order pharmacokinetics over a 72 hour period, such that the addict attains a buprenorphine plasma concentration from about 1000 to about 10,000  $\mu$ g/ml, and preferably from about 5000 to about 8000  $\mu$ g/ml, about 72 hours after application of a buprenorphine transdermal delivery device, and thereafter maintaining the transdermal delivery device in contact with the skin of the addict such that a mean relative release rate of buprenorphine is indicative of (approximating) zero

25

order kinetics over an additional dosing interval of at least about 48 hours, to provide the desired therapeutic effect (e.g., detoxification). In preferred embodiments the transdermal delivery device is maintained in contact with the addict's skin for about 7 days.

5

While chronic pain is often manageable with the use of the combination of "mild" analgesics, and nonpharmacologic interventions, selected patients continue to experience unacceptably intense pain. Some patients with chronic pain cannot tolerate therapeutic doses of "mild" analgesics, while others develop pain of such severity that "strong" analgesics should be considered for subacute or chronic use.

10

The phrase "strong analgesics" encompasses, *inter alia*, several classes of opioid analgesics, including the partial agonists. Parenteral buprenorphine (a Schedule V drug under the Controlled Substances Act) is the only example of a partial agonist opioid analgesic currently marketed in the United States.

15


Partial agonists provide several therapeutic advantages in many patients when compared to morphine-like agonists and mixed agonists-antagonists. For example, unlike the mixed agonists-antagonists (e.g., pentazocine, butorphanol, nalbuphine), buprenorphine is devoid of psychotomimetic adverse reactions; in comparison with agonists (e.g., morphine and fentanyl), the dose-responsive relationship for respiratory depression with buprenorphine is relatively low and the abuse liability of buprenorphine is less.

20

The chemical name of buprenorphine is 21-cyclopropyl-7 $\alpha$ -[(S)-1-hydroxy-1,2,2-trimethylpropyl]-6,14-endo-ethano-6,7,8,14-tetrahydrooripavine. The molecular weight of buprenorphine base is 467.7; the empirical formula is C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>41</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>.

The structural formula of buprenorphine is shown below:

25



Buprenorphine is an opioid partial agonist and shares many of the actions, such as analgesia, of opioid agonists. A “ceiling effect” to analgesia (i.e., no additional analgesia with increasing dose) is well documented with respect to buprenorphine in many animal models. 5 It is highly lipophilic and dissociates slowly from opioid receptors. Buprenorphine is considered in the art to be a partial agonist at  $\mu$  opioid receptors in the central nervous system (“CNS”) and peripheral tissues. It is further thought that buprenorphine binds with high affinity to  $\mu$  and  $\kappa$ , receptors, and, with lower affinity, to  $\delta$  receptors. The intrinsic agonist activity at the  $\kappa$  receptor seems to be limited and most evidence suggests that buprenorphine 10 has antagonist activity at  $\kappa$  receptors. The lack of  $\kappa$  agonism accounts for buprenorphine’s freedom from the dysphoric and psychotomimetic effects often seen with agonist/antagonist drugs. Other studies suggest that the opioid antagonist effects of buprenorphine may be mediated via an interaction with  $\delta$  opioid receptors.

15 It is known in the art that buprenorphine binds slowly with, and dissociates slowly from, the  $\mu$  receptor. The high affinity of buprenorphine for the  $\mu$  receptor and its slow binding to, and dissociation from, the receptor is thought to possibly account for the prolonged duration of analgesia, and in part, for the limited physical dependence potential observed with the drug. The high affinity binding may also account for the fact that buprenorphine can block the  $\mu$  agonist effects of other administered opioids.

Like other opioid agonists, buprenorphine produces dose-related analgesia. The exact mechanism has not been fully explained, but analgesia appears to result from a high affinity of buprenorphine for  $\mu$  and possibly  $\kappa$  opioid receptors in the CNS. The drug may also alter the

5 pain threshold (threshold of afferent nerve endings to noxious stimuli). On a weight basis, the analgesic potency of parenteral buprenorphine appears to be about 25 to about 50 times that of parenteral morphine, about 200 times that of pentazocine, and about 600 times that of meperidine. Buprenorphine may produce sex-related differences in analgesia, with females requiring substantially less drug than males to produce adequate analgesia.

10 For a study of transdermal delivery of buprenorphine through cadaver skin, see Roy, Samir D. *et al.*, "Transdermal Delivery of Buprenorphine Through Cadaver Skin", Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Vol. 83, No. 2, pp. 126-130, (1994), hereby incorporated by reference. For a discussion of buprenorphine pharmacokinetics resulting from application of a fillable transdermal therapeutic device, see Wilding, I.R. *et al.*, "Pharmacokinetic evaluation 15 of transdermal buprenorphine in man," International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 132 (1996) pp. 81-87, hereby incorporated by reference. For a discussion of the permeation of buprenorphine and alkyl esters thereof, see Imoto, *et al.*, "Transdermal Prodrug Concepts: Permeation of Buprenorphine and its Alkyl Esters Through Hairless Mouse Skin and Influence of Vehicles," Biol. Pharm. Bull., 19(2)263-267 (1996), hereby incorporated by reference.

20 Buprenorphine has a low abuse liability compared to full agonist opioids. Although infrequent, however, buprenorphine may also produce limited physical dependence, and signs and symptoms of mild withdrawal may appear following discontinuance of prolonged therapy with the drug alone. Due to buprenorphine's slow binding with and slow dissociation from the  $\mu$  receptor, elimination of the drug from the CNS is prolonged following abrupt discontinuance; consequently, signs and symptoms of acute withdrawal are less intense than those produced by morphine and are delayed in appearance.

25 In patients physically dependent on opioids, buprenorphine produces many of the subjective and objective effects of opioids; however, the drug may not be a satisfactory substitute for opioid agonists in all patients physically dependent on opioids. Tolerance to the opioid agonist activity of the drug reportedly develops rarely, if at all.

Buprenorphine may produce psychological dependence. Buprenorphine is a partial opioid agonist with behavioral and psychic effects similar to morphine. Unlike pentazocine,

however, buprenorphine rarely causes psychotomimetic effects. Like other opioid agonists, buprenorphine may produce increases in cerebrospinal fluid pressure.

The pharmacokinetics of buprenorphine administered parenterally and sublingually are known. Intravenous administration of a single dose of about 0.3 mg of buprenorphine has 5 been shown to provide mean peak plasma drug concentrations of about 18 ng/ml which occur within about 2 minutes; plasma concentrations declined to about 9 and about 0.4 ng/ml after about 5 minutes and about 3 hours, respectively. Following intramuscular administration of a second 0.3 mg dose 3 hours after the initial intravenous dose, mean peak plasma buprenorphine concentrations of about 3.6 ng/ml occur within about 2 to about 5 minutes and 10 decline to about 0.4 ng/ml after about 3 hours. Approximately 10 minutes after administration, plasma concentrations of buprenorphine are similar following intravenous or intramuscular injection.

A parenteral solution of buprenorphine hydrochloride (0.3 mg buprenorphine/ml) is commercially available as Buprenex® (Reckitt & Colman) for intramuscular and intravenous 15 administration. The usual adult dose (over age 13) is 0.3 mg IM or IV every 6 to 8 hours as needed for moderate to severe pain. The pediatric dose in patients age 2 to 12 is 2-6  $\mu$ g/kg of body weight every 4-6 hours. The increased frequency of administration in the pediatric population is believed to be caused by increased clearance of buprenorphine compared to the adult population. The mean duration of analgesia generally is six hours following single 20 intramuscular or intravenous doses of 0.2 to 0.3 mg or 2 to 4  $\mu$ g/kg; however, in some studies, the mean duration of analgesia reportedly ranged from 4 to 10 hours following single intramuscular doses of 0.2 to 0.6 mg and 2 to 24 hours following single intravenous doses of 0.3 mg or 2 to 15  $\mu$ g/kg.

For reference, the mean peak plasma buprenorphine concentration, time to peak 25 concentration, and systemic availability for a 0.4 mg and 0.8 mg single-dose sublingual dose of buprenorphine has been reported by Cowan, Alan and Lewis John, W., Buprenorphine: Combating Drug Abuse With a Unique Opioids, Wiley-Liss, Inc., New York, pp. 137-147 (1995), hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. For a 0.4 mg sublingual dose, the

Cmax was reported as  $0.50 \pm 0.06$  ng/ml; the Tmax was reported  $210 \pm 40$  minutes; and a systemic availability of  $57.7\% \pm 6$ . For a 0.8 mg sublingual dose, the Cmax was reported as  $1.04 \pm 0.27$  ng/ml; the Tmax was reported  $192 \pm 49$  minutes; and a systemic availability of  $54.1\% \pm 12.7$ .

5 It has previously been reported that a usual sublingual analgesic dose of buprenorphine is 0.2 to 0.4 mg every 8 hours (e.g., Kuhlman, JJ *et al.* J Analyt Toxicol 1996; 20(10)). For a transdermal patch which might provide a nominal delivery rate of about  $12.5 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$ , the total buprenorphine administered over a 24 hour period would be about 0.3 mg, and the sublingual equivalent dose over the same period would be about 0.6 mg. For a transdermal delivery 10 device (e.g., a transdermal patch) which might provide a nominal delivery rate of about  $25 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$ , the total buprenorphine administered over a 24 hour period would be about 0.6 mg, and the sublingual equivalent dose over the same period would be about 1.2 mg. For a transdermal patch which might provide a nominal delivery rate of about  $50 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$ , the total 15 buprenorphine administered over a 24 hour period would be about 1.2 mg, and the sublingual equivalent dose over the same period would be about 2.4 mg. It is contemplated that one of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that by simple pharmaceutical calculations, the equivalent doses for achieving the inventive buprenorphine plasma concentration set forth herein can be determined regardless of the mode of administration. In the present discussion, the comparison is made between transdermal dose and sublingual dose.

20 Distribution of buprenorphine into human body tissues and fluids has not been well characterized. Following oral or intramuscular administration in rats, buprenorphine distributes into the liver, brain, placenta, and GI tract; highest concentrations were attained in the liver within 10 or 40 minutes following oral or intramuscular administration, respectively. The hepatic extraction ratio of buprenorphine is approximately 1. The drug and its metabolites 25 are distributed into bile. Following intravenous administration in humans, the drug rapidly distributes into cerebro spinal fluid ("CSF") (within several minutes). CSF buprenorphine concentrations appear to be approximately 15% to 25% of concurrent plasma concentrations. Buprenorphine is approximately 96% bound to plasma proteins, mainly to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  globulins;

the drug does not appear to bind substantially to albumin.

Buprenorphine is almost completely metabolized in the liver, principally by N-dealkylation, to form norbuprenorphine (N-dealkylbuprenorphine); buprenorphine and norbuprenorphine also undergo conjugation with glucuronic acid. Like the metabolites of other opioid agonists, norbuprenorphine may have weak analgesic activity; however, studies to determine the analgesic activity of the metabolites of buprenorphine have not been performed. Buprenorphine and its metabolites are excreted principally in feces via biliary elimination and also in urine. Buprenorphine is excreted in feces mainly as unchanged drug; small amounts of norbuprenorphine are also excreted in feces. The drug and its metabolites are believed to undergo enterohepatic circulation. Norbuprenorphine appears to be excreted principally in urine at a slower rate than the parent drug. Total plasma clearance of buprenorphine reportedly is approximately 1.28l/minute in conscious postoperative patients. Limited data indicate that there is considerable interindividual variability in buprenorphine pharmacokinetics in children; however, clearance of the drug appears to be increased in children (e.g., those 5 to 7 years of age) compared with that in adults. Optimal dosing interval of buprenorphine may have to be decreased in pediatric patients.

Achieving effective analgesic plasma opioid concentrations in patients is very complicated and involves a host of considerations, including the inherent chemical and physical properties of the opioid itself. Further considerations include in-vivo metabolism, individual patient response and tolerance. Generally, however, there is a "minimally effective analgesic concentration" (MEAC) in plasma for a particular opioid below which no analgesia is provided. There is relationship between plasma opioid levels and analgesia. Higher plasma levels are generally associated with greater pain relief, and (possibly) greater incidence and severity of side effects. In certain preferred embodiments of the present invention where the patient(s) is being treated for moderate to severe pain, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 0.3 to about 113 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 3 to about

296 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 7 to about 644 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 13 to about 753 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 16 to about 984 5 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 984 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval. Thereafter, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 19 to about 1052 pg/ml 10 over at least the next 48 hours. In further preferred embodiments, this method further comprises maintaining the dosing of buprenorphine during the at least next 48 hours in accordance with zero order kinetics. Preferably, the mean plasma concentrations are maintained after the 72 hour dosing interval as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean 15 plasma concentration from about 23 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 22 to about 970 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean plasma concentration from about 19 to about 841 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval). In this embodiment where a transdermal delivery device is used, the invention 20 further relates to the use of buprenorphine transdermal delivery device which provides a mean relative release rate from about 3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 86  $\mu$ g/hr is preferably maintained from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate is preferably maintained from about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr 25 from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

Any mode of administration may be utilized to attain the above plasma concentrations over time. For example, the buprenorphine may be administered transdermally, parenterally, sublingually, orally, buccally, rectally, etc. Thus, with respect to the foregoing discussions, the

plasma levels described with respect to transdermal delivery devices are applicable to these other modes of administration. Oral bioavailability of buprenorphine is very low (estimated as 15%). In order to better control plasma concentrations of buprenorphine within the concentrations desired in the herein-described inventive methods, it is preferred that the 5 buprenorphine is administered via a transdermal delivery device or via continuous infusion. Preferably, the administration of buprenorphine is accomplished via a mode selected from the group consisting of transdermally, continuous infusion, and a mixture of transdermally and continuous infusion. Most preferably, the administration is accomplished by applying a transdermal delivery device to the skin of a patient, and maintaining said transdermal delivery 10 device in contact with the patient's skin for at least 5 days.

In a further preferred embodiment of the invention, buprenorphine is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 1 to about 28 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 14 to about 74 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 30 to about 161 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 51 to about 188 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 62 to about 246 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 79 to about 246 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 85 to about 263 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval. Thereafter, buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 77 to about 263 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. Preferably, the plasma concentrations are maintained after the 15 20 25 72 hour dosing interval as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 92 to about 263 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 94 to about 263 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 86 to about 243 pg/ml at about 144 hours after

initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean plasma concentration from about 77 to about 210 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval). In this embodiment wherein a transdermal delivery device is used, it is preferred that a mean relative release rate of from about 13  $\mu$ g/hr to about 21  $\mu$ g/hr is maintained from the initiation 5 of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and that a mean relative release rate of about 1  $\mu$ g/hr to about 2  $\mu$ g/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval is maintained (e.g., about 168 hours after initiation for a seven-day dosing interval).

In a further preferred embodiment of the invention, buprenorphine is administered to 10 human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 0.3 to about 7 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 4 to about 19 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 7 to about 40 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing 15 interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 13 to about 47 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 16 to about 62 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 62 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 66 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the 20 dosing interval. Thereafter, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 19 to about 66 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. Preferably, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to 25 about 66 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to about 66 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 22 to about 61 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean plasma concentration from about 19 to about 53 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing

interval). In this embodiment where a transdermal delivery device is used, the invention further relates to the use of buprenorphine transdermal delivery device which provides a mean relative release rate from about 3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 0.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

In a further preferred embodiment of the invention, buprenorphine is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 0.7 to about 14 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 7 to about 37 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 15 to about 80 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 25 to about 94 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 31 to about 123 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 40 to about 123 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 42 to about 132 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval. Thereafter, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 38 to about 132 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. Preferably, the buprenorphine is further administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 46 to about 132 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 47 to about 132 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 43 to about 121 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean plasma concentration from about 38 to about 105 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval). In this embodiment where a transdermal

delivery device is used, the invention further relates to the use of buprenorphine transdermal delivery device which provides a mean relative release rate from about 6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 11  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 0.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  is 5 maintained from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after initiation of a seven day dosing interval).

In a further preferred embodiment of the invention, buprenorphine is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 3 to about 57 pg/ml 10 at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 28 to about 148 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 59 to about 322 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 102 to about 377 pg/ml at about 15 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 124 to about 492 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 159 to about 492 pg/ml at about 60 hours; after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 169 to about 526 pg/ml at about 72 hours 20 after initiation of the dosing interval. Thereafter, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 153 to about 526 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. Preferably, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 184 to about 526 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 187 to about 526 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 173 to 25 about 485 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 153 to about 420 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval). In this embodiment where a transdermal delivery device is used, the invention further relates to the use of buprenorphine transdermal

5        delivey device which provides a mean relative release rate from about 26  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 43  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 2  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  is maintained from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

10      In a further preferred embodiment of the invention, buprenorphine is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 4 to about 85 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 42 to about 222 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 89 to about 483 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 152 to about 565 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 186 to about 738 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 238 to about 738 pg/ml at 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 254 to about 789 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval. Thereafter, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 230 to about 789 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. Preferably, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 276 to about 789 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 281 to about 789 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 259 to about 727 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 230 to about 630 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval). In this embodiment where a transdermal delivery device is used, the invention further relates to the use of buprenorphine transdermal delivey device which provides a mean relative release rate of from about 38  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 64

$\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about  $4 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $7 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  is maintained from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after the initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

5        In a further preferred embodiment of the invention, buprenorphine is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval: a mean plasma concentration from about 5 to about 113 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 55 to about 296 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 118 to about 644 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of  
10      the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 203 to about 753 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 247 to about 984 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 317 to about 984 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the  
15      dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 339 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval. Thereafter, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 306 to about 1052 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours. Preferably, the buprenorphine is administered in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows: a mean plasma  
20      concentration from about 369 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 374 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 346 to about 970 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 306 to about 841 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the  
25      dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval). In this embodiment where a transdermal delivery device is used, the invention further relates to the use of buprenorphine transdermal delivery device which provides a mean relative release rate of from about  $51 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $86 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the,

e.g., dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  is maintained from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval, e.g., about 168 hours after the initiation of a seven-day dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after the initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

5        In further embodiments of the invention, the method comprises the administration of buprenorphine transdermally to human patients according to very different relative release rates for the first 3 day portion of the dosing interval (indicative of substantially first order release), and the additional at least 2 day long portion of the dosing interval (indicative of zero order release) such that mean relative release rates are achieved over the dosing interval as follows:

10      a mean relative release rate of from about 3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 86  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after the initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

15      In one preferred embodiment, the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about 3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 0.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 0.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 20      168 hours after initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

25      In another preferred embodiment, the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about 6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 11  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about 0.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

      In another preferred embodiment, the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about 13  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about 21

$\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about  $1 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

5 In yet another preferred embodiment, the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about  $26 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $43 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about  $3 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $4 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g.,  
10 about 168 hours after the initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

In yet a further preferred embodiment, the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about  $39 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $64 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about  $4 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $7 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about  
15 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval (e.g., about 168 hours after the initiation of a seven-day dosing interval).

In yet a further preferred embodiment, the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows: a mean relative release rate of from about  $51 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $86 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean relative release rate of about  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  to about  $9 \mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  from about  
20 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval, e.g., about 168 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval.

The method of the present invention may be accomplished by any mode of administration useful for buprenorphine known to those skilled in the art. However, certain modes of administration are more practical than others. Preferably, the mode of administration is via continuous infusion, through the oral mucosa, or most preferably, transdermally.  
25

In embodiments of the invention where the plasma concentrations described herein are accomplished intravenous infusion, the pattern of plasma concentrations seen through time in

this invention can be achieved by using the injectable, parenteral form of, e.g., buprenorphine hydrochloride suitably diluted in an intravenous infusion solution. The infusion rate would be controlled by a programmable infusion pump, to provide the desired plasma profile.

5 In preferred embodiments of the invention, the mode of administration of the buprenorphine is transdermal. Transdermal delivery of active agents is measured in terms of "relative release rate" or "flux", i.e., the rate of penetration of the active agent through the skin of an individual. Skin flux may be generally determined from the following equation:

$$dM/dt = J = P * C$$

10 where  $J$  is the skin flux,  $P$  is the permeability coefficient and  $C$  is the concentration gradient across the membrane, assumed to be the same as the donor concentration.  $M$  represents the cumulative amount of drug entering the blood stream. The variables  $dM$  and  $dt$  represent the change in cumulative amount of drug entering the blood stream and change in time, respectively.

15 It is well understood in the art of transdermal delivery devices that in order to maintain a desired flux rate for a desired dosing period, it is necessary to include an overage of active agent in the transdermal delivery device in an amount that is substantially greater than the amount to be delivered to the patient over the desired time period. For example, to maintain the desired flux rate for a three day time period, it is considered necessary to include much greater than 100% of a three day dose of an active agent in a transdermal delivery device. This 20 overage is necessary for creating a concentration gradient by means of which the active agent migrates through the layers of the transdermal delivery device to the desired site on a patient's skin. The remainder of the active agent remains in the transdermal delivery device. It is only the portion of active agent that exits the transdermal delivery device that becomes available for absorption into the skin. The total amount of active agent absorbed into the patient's blood stream is less than the total amount available. The amount of overage to be included in a 25 transdermal delivery device is dependent on these and other factors known to the skilled artisan.

Surprisingly, it has been found that it is possible to treat pain according to the present invention by providing a transdermal delivery device containing a sufficient amount of opioid, e.g. buprenorphine, to provide a desired relative release rate for up to 3 days, and after single administration (application) of the transdermal dosage form, leaving the dosage form on the skin for approximately a 5 to 8 day time period, thereby resulting in the flux being maintained over the prolonged period and effective blood plasma levels and pain management being maintained over the prolonged period. Preferably, the desired flux is maintained at least about 5, preferably at least about 8 days after application of the transdermal delivery device. If the transdermal delivery device is removed 3 days after its administration, no analgesia is present 5 a short time after removal (the remaining time period during which analgesia is provided being dependent upon, for example, the amount of drug in a skin depot at the site of the application 10 of the transdermal delivery device). Surprisingly however, if the same transdermal delivery device is maintained in contact with the skin for an about 5 to about 8 day period, analgesia is maintained over the prolonged period of contact, but the patient continues to experience 15 analgesia. In other words, inclusion of the aforementioned overage of buprenorphine provides analgesia for at least about twice the expected 3 day dosing interval.

Any type of transdermal delivery device may be used in accordance with the methods of the present invention so long as the desired pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic response(s) are attained over at least 3 days, e.g.; from about 5 to about 8 days. Preferable 20 transdermal delivery devices include e.g., transdermal patches, transdermal plasters, transdermal discs, iontophoretic transdermal devices and the like.

With respect to embodiments of the present invention wherein the buprenorphine is delivered via a transdermal delivery device, it is contemplated for example that from about 25 68% to about 95% of the buprenorphine is contained in the transdermal delivery device at the end of the dosing interval.

With respect to embodiments of the present invention wherein the buprenorphine is delivered via a transdermal delivery device, it is contemplated the Tmax occurs from about 3 to about 5 days after application of said transdermal delivery device.

Transdermal delivery devices used in accordance with the invention preferably include a backing layer made of pharmaceutically acceptable material which is impermeable to the buprenorphine. The backing layer preferably serves as a protective cover for the active agent, e.g. buprenorphine and may also provide a support function. Examples of materials suitable 5 for making the backing layer are films of high and low density polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinylchloride, polyurethane, polyesters such as poly(ethylene phthalate), metal foils, metal foil laminates of such suitable polymer films, textile fabrics, if the components of the reservoir cannot penetrate the fabric due to their physical properties and the like. Preferably, the materials used for the backing layer are laminates of such polymer films with a metal foil such 10 as aluminum foil. The backing layer can be any appropriate thickness which will provide the desired protective and support functions. A suitable thickness will be from about 10 to about 200 microns. Desirable materials and thickness will be apparent to the skilled artisan.

In certain preferred embodiments, the transdermal delivery devices used in accordance with the invention contain a polymer matrix layer. Generally, the polymers used to form the 15 biologically acceptable polymer matrix are those capable of forming thin walls or coatings through which pharmaceuticals can pass at a controlled rate. A non-limiting list of exemplary materials for inclusion in the polymer matrix includes polyethylene, polypropylene, ethylene/propylene copolymers, ethylene/ethylacrylate copolymers, ethylenevinyl acetate copolymers, silicones, rubber, rubber-like synthetic homo-, co- or block polymers, polyacrylic 20 esters and the copolymers thereof, polyurethanes, polyisobutylene, chlorinated polyethylene, polyvinylchloride, vinyl chloride-vinyl acetate copolymer, polymethacrylate polymer (hydrogel), polyvinylidene chloride, poly(ethylene terephthalate), ethylene-vinyl alcohol copolymer, ethylene-vinyloxyethanol copolymer, silicones including silicone copolymers such 25 as polysiloxane-polymethacrylate copolymers, cellulose polymers (e.g., ethyl cellulose, and cellulose esters), polycarbonates, polytetrafluoroethylene and mixtures thereof.

Preferred materials for inclusion in the polymer matrix layer are silicone elastomers of the general polydimethylsiloxane structures, (e.g., silicone polymers). Preferred silicone polymers cross-link and are pharmaceutically acceptable. Other preferred materials for

inclusion in the polymer matrix layer include: silicone polymers that are cross-linkable copolymers having dimethyl and/or dimethylvinyl siloxane units which can be crosslinked using a suitable peroxide catalyst. Also preferred are those polymers consisting of block copolymers based on styrene and 1,3-dienes (particularly linear styrene-isoprene-block copolymers of 5 styrene-butadiene-block copolymers), polyisobutylenes, polymers based on acrylate and/or methacrylate.

The polymer matrix layer may optionally include a pharmaceutically acceptable cross-linking agent. Suitable crosslinking agents include, e.g., tetrapropoxy silane.

Preferred transdermal delivery devices used in accordance with the methods of the 10 present invention include an adhesive layer to affix the dosage form to the skin of the patient for a desired period of administration, e.g., about 5 to about 8 days. If the adhesive layer of the dosage form fails to provide adhesion for the desired period of time, it is possible to maintain contact between the dosage form with the skin by, for instance, affixing the dosage 15 form to the skin of the patient with an adhesive tape, e.g., surgical tape. It is not critical for purposes of the present invention whether adhesion of the dosage form to the skin of the patient is achieved solely by the adhesive layer of the dosage form or in connection with a peripheral adhesive source, such as surgical tape, provided that the dosage form is adhered to the patient's skin for the requisite administration period.

The adhesive layer preferably includes using any adhesive known in the art that is 20 pharmaceutically compatible with the dosage form and preferably hypoallergenic, such as polyacrylic adhesive polymers, acrylate copolymers (e.g., polyacrylate) and polyisobutylene adhesive polymers. In other preferred embodiments of the invention, the adhesive is a pressure-sensitive contact adhesive, which is preferably hypoallergenic.

The transdermal delivery devices used in accordance with the present invention may 25 optionally include a permeation enhancing agent. Permeation enhancing agents are compounds which promote penetration and/or absorption of the buprenorphine into the blood stream of the patient. A non-limiting list of permeation enhancing agents includes polyethylene glycols, surfactants, and the like.

Alternatively, permeation of buprenorphine may be enhanced by occlusion of the dosage form after application to the desired site on the patient with, e.g. an occlusive bandage. Permeation may also be enhanced by removing hair from the application site by, e.g. clipping, shaving or use of a depilatory agent. Another permeation enhancer is heat. It is thought that 5 heat enhancement can be induced by, among other things, using a radiating heat form, such as an infrared lamp, onto the application site after application of the transdermal dosage form. Other means of enhancing permeation of buprenorphine such as the use of iontophoretic means are also contemplated to be within the scope of the present invention.

10 A preferred transdermal delivery device which may be used in accordance with the present invention includes a non-permeable backing layer made, for example, of polyester; an adhesive layer made, for example of a polyacrylate; and a matrix containing the buprenorphine and other desirable pharmaceutical aids such as softeners, permeability enhancers, viscosity agents and the like.

15 The active agent may be included in the device in a drug reservoir, drug matrix or drug/adhesive layer. Preferably, the active agent is buprenorphine or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

20 Certain preferred transdermal delivery devices also include a softening agent. Suitable softening agents include higher alcohols such as dodecanol, undecanol, octanol, esters of carboxylic acids, wherein the alcohol component may also be a polyethoxylated alcohol, diesters of dicarboxylic acids, such as di-n-butyladiapate, and triglycerides particularly medium-chain triglycerides of the caprylic/capric acids or coconut oil, have proved to be particularly suitable. Further examples of suitable softeners are multivalent alcohols, for example, levulinic acid, cocprylic acids glycerol and 1,2-propanediol which can also be etherified by polyethylene glycols.

25 A buprenorphine solvent may also be included in the transdermal delivery devices of the present invention. Preferably, the solvents dissolve the buprenorphine to a sufficient extent thereby avoiding complete salt formation. A non-limiting list of suitable solvents include those with at least one acidic group. Particularly suitable are monoesters of dicarboxylic acids such

as monomethylglutarate and monomethyladipate.

Other pharmaceutically acceptable compounds which may be included in the reservoir or matrix include: solvents, for example alcohols such as isopropanol; permeation enhancing agents such as those described above; and viscosity agents, such as cellulose derivatives, natural or synthetic gums, such as guar gum, and the like.

In preferred embodiments, the transdermal delivery device includes a removable protective layer. The removable protective layer is removed prior to application, and consists of the materials used for the production of the backing layer described above provided that they are rendered removable, for example, by a silicone treatment. Other removable protective layers, for example, are polytetra-fluoroethylene, treated paper, allophane, polyvinyl chloride, and the like. Generally, the removable protective layer is in contact with the adhesive layer and provides a convenient means of maintaining the integrity of the adhesive layer until the desired time of application.

The composition of the transdermal delivery devices used in accordance with the invention and the type of system (device) used are not considered critical to the invention, provided that the device delivers the active agent, e.g. buprenorphine, for the desired time period and at the desired flux rate and/or the desired delivery rate of the transdermal dosage form.

Certain preferred transdermal delivery devices for use in accordance with the present invention are described in U.S. Patent No. 5,240,711 (Hille, *et. al.*; assigned to LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme GmbH & Co.), hereby incorporated by reference. Such buprenorphine transdermal delivery devices may be a laminated composite having an impermeable backing layer containing buprenorphine, and optionally, a permeation enhancer combined with a pressure-sensitive adhesive. A preferred transdermal dosage form in accordance with the '711 patent includes: (i) a polyester backing layer which is impermeable to buprenorphine; (ii) a polyacrylate adhesive layer; (iii) a separating polyester layer; and (iv) a matrix containing buprenorphine, a solvent for the buprenorphine, a softener and a polyacrylate adhesive. The buprenorphine solvent may or may not be present in the final formulation. The transdermal

delivery device described therein includes a backing layer which is impermeable to the active substance, a pressure-sensitive adhesive reservoir layer and optionally, a removable protective layer. Preferably, the reservoir layer includes about 10 to about 95%-wt polymeric material, about 0.1 to about 40%-wt softener, about 0.1 to about 30%-wt buprenorphine. A solvent for the buprenorphine base or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof may be included as about 0.1 to about 30%-wt.

5 In a preferred embodiment, the transdermal delivery device is prepared in accordance with Example 1 appended hereto. In this example, the transdermal delivery device was prepared in accordance with the disclosure of International Patent Application No. WO 10 96/19975 (Hille, *et. al.*; assigned to LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme GMBH), hereby incorporated by reference. In this device, the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device contains resorption-promoting auxiliary substances. The resorption-promoting auxiliary substance forms an undercooled mass. The delivery device contains 10% buprenorphine base, 10-15% acid (such as levulinic acid), about 10% softener (such as oleyoleate); 55-70% 15 polyacrylate; and 0-10% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP).

20 In embodiments of the present invention wherein the buprenorphine plasma concentrations described herein are achieved via the use of a transdermal delivery device prepared in accordance with WO 96/19975, it is contemplated for example that the nominal delivery rate of buprenorphine from such patches will be, e.g., from about 12.5 to about 100 ug/hr. In certain preferred embodiments, in order to achieve a nominal delivery rate of 12.5 ug/hr, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is about 5 mg, the active surface area is about 6.25 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size may be, e.g., about 19.4 cm<sup>2</sup>. In certain preferred embodiments, in order to achieve a nominal delivery rate of 25 ug/hr, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is about 10 mg, the active surface area is 25 about 12.5 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size may be, e.g., about 30.6 cm<sup>2</sup>. In certain preferred embodiments, in order to achieve a nominal delivery rate of 50 ug/hr, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is about 20 mg, the active surface area is about 25 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size may be, e.g., about 51.8 cm<sup>2</sup>. In certain preferred

embodiments, in order to achieve a nominal delivery rate of 75 ug/hr, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is about 30 mg, the active surface area is about 37.5 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size may be, e.g., about 69.8 cm. In certain preferred 5 embodiments, in order to achieve a nominal delivery rate of 100 ug/hr, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is about 40 mg, the active surface area is about 50 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size may be, e.g., about 87.8 cm<sup>2</sup>.

In accordance with a method of the invention, the above-described transdermal delivery device has been designed to be adhered to the patient for only three days and is expected to release analgetically effective doses of buprenorphine for only about 3 days. Instead, in 10 accordance with the present invention, the transdermal delivery device is maintained in contact with the skin of the patient for a much longer time period, e.g., from about 5 to about 8 days, without any change in the formulation of the transdermal device itself. It has surprisingly been found that analgesia is maintained for this extended period of time (the time beyond the useful life designed for the transdermal formulation).

15 In other embodiments, the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device may be a plaster such as that described in U.S. Patent No. 5,225,199 to Hidaka *et al.*, hereby incorporated by reference. Such plasters include a film layer including a polyester film of about 0.5 to about 4.9  $\mu$ m thickness, about 8 to about 85 g/mm strength, respectively in the two directions intersecting substantially at right angles, about 30 to about 150% elongation, in the two 20 directions intersecting substantially at right angles and an elongation ratio of A to B of about 1.0 to about 5.0, wherein A and B represent data in two directions intersecting at right angles, and A is greater than B and wherein said polyester film includes about 0.01 to about 1.0% by weight, based on the total weight of the polyester film, of solid fine particles in which the average particle size is about 0.001 to about 3.0  $\mu$ m and an adhesive layer which is composed 25 of an adhesive containing transdermally absorbable drugs; wherein the adhesive layer is laminated on said film layer over the surface in about 2 to about 60  $\mu$ m thickness. The average particle size is substantially not more than 1.5 times the thickness of the polyester film:

The transdermal delivery device used in the present invention may also be prepared in accordance with U.S. Patent No. 5,069,909 (Sharma *et al.*), hereby incorporated by reference. This patent describes a laminated composite for administering buprenorphine transdermally to treat pain. The composite includes an impermeable backing layer providing a protective covering for the composite which may be made from an alastomeric polymer such as polyurethane, polyether amide, or copolyester and may be about 15 -250 microns in thickness. The composite further includes a reservoir lamina composed of buprenorphine (base or HCl) in an amount of 1-12% by weight and a pressure-sensitive adhesive, e.g. , polyisobutylene, or a silicone adhesive such as silastic, or an acrylate adhesive, and 2-35% permeation enhancer (comprising propylene glycol monolaurate in combination with capric acid or oleic acid). The amounts of buprenorphine and permeation enhancer are sufficient to cause the buprenorphine to pass through the skin at a rate of about 1 to 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2/\text{hr}$ .

The transdermal delivery device used in the present invention may also be prepared in accordance with U.S. Patent No. 4,806,341 (Chien *et al.*), hereby incorporated by reference. This patent describes a transdermal morphinan narcotic analgesic or antagonist (including buprenorphine) pharmaceutical polymer matrix dosage unit having a backing layer which is substantially impervious to the buprenorphine, and a polymer matrix disc layer which is adhered to the backing layer and which has microdispersed therein effective dosage amounts of the buprenorphine. The polymer matrix may be a silicon polymer or copolymer, such as methyl silicone polymer or copolymer, or methylvinyl silicone polymer or copolymer. The polymer matrix layer preferably has dispersed therein a skin permeation enhancing agent such as isopropyl myristate, azone, or a combination of ethyl caprylate and capryl alcohol.

The transdermal delivery device used in the present invention may also be that described in U.S. Patent No. 5,026,556 (Drust *et al.*), hereby incorporated by reference. Therein, compositions for the transdermal delivery of buprenorphine comprise buprenorphine in a carrier of a polar solvent material selected from the group consisting of C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> diols, C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub> triols, and mixtures thereof, and a polar lipid material selected from the group consisting of fatty alcohol esters, fatty acid esters, and mixtures thereof; wherein the polar solvent

material and the lipid material are present in a weight ratio of solvent material:lipid material of from 60:40 to about 99:1.

The transdermal delivery device used in the present invention may also be that described in U.S. Patent No. 4,588,580 (Gale, *et. al.*), hereby incorporated by reference. That 5 device comprises a reservoir for the drug having a skin proximal, material releasing surface area in the range of about 5-100 cm<sup>2</sup> and containing between 0.1 and 50% by weight of a skin permeable form of the buprenorphine. The reservoir contains an aqueous gel comprising up to about 47-95% ethanol, 1-10% gelling agent, 0.1-10% buprenorphine, and release rate controlling means disposed in the flow path of the drug to the skin which limits the flux of the 10 buprenorphine from the device through the skin. The release rate controlling means is more permeable to the buprenorphine than to the ethanol, and may be for example low density polyethylene (LDPE), ethylene-vinyl acetate (EVA) copolymers, heat sealable polyesters, and elastomeric polyester block copolymers, such as HYTREL® from DuPont. This device is said to be capable of providing an administration rate of about 10-300 µg/hr. It is contemplated that 15 each of the transdermal delivery devices described herein (other than the device exemplified in Example 1 appended hereto) would require minor manipulation in order to achieve the methods of the invention. Such modifications are within the abilities of one skilled in the art of formulating such transdermal delivery devices.

The present invention may also be accomplished via the use of a sustained oral mucosal 20 delivery device. Such a device is described by McQuinn, R. L. *et al.*, "Sustained Oral Mucosal Delivery in Human Volunteers J. Controlled Release; (34) 1995 (243-250). Therein, oral mucosal patches were prepared by homogeneously mixing buprenorphine free base (8%), Carbopol 934 (52%), polyisobutylene (35%) and polyisoprene (5%, w/w) via a two-roll mill and then compressing the mixture to the appropriate thickness. A membrane backing 25 (ethylcellulose) was applied to one side of the compressed material and then circular disks (0.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) were punched from the material. The backing was included in order to retard drug release from one side of the disk and to prohibit adhesion to opposing side tissues. Each soft, flexible disk was approximately 0.6 mm thick and contained 2.9 mg buprenorphine. These

patches were worn by the subjects for 12 hours. Gum and lip application was tested, although adhesion at the gum site was considered superior. After the initial appearance of serum buprenorphine ( $\geq 25$  pg/ml), levels generally increased relatively rapidly and persisted until the patch was removed. After the patch was removed, buprenorphine levels fell promptly and were at a relatively low (but measureable) level by 24 hours post-dose. It was estimated that 5  $0.42 \pm 0.18$  mg were delivered via the gum treatment. From this discussion, it is apparent that an oral mucosal patch can be prepared which will provide plasma concentrations considered desirable according to the present invention.

10 A significantly higher incidence in side effects such as nausea, vomiting or drowsiness would normally be expected when high blood levels of opioid analgesics are administered. The present invention, by maintaining a lower blood level of drug over the 7 day dosing period while maintaining effective pain management, has a lower incidence of side effects. In comparison, a much higher plasma concentration is seen in patients over the same period of time when a new transdermal delivery device of the same strength is put on every three days, 15 and therefore increased side effects are expected with each new 3 day transdermal application.

20 In general, upon administration of an opioid analgesic, there is a lag time or "hysteresis", between the pharmacodynamic effects and the time course of opioid plasma concentration levels. Generally, peak plasma level concentrations are often attained prior to exhibition of the maximum pharmacotherapeutic or side effect response. It has been surprisingly discovered that the method according to the present invention provides a "reverse hysteresis", i.e. the rise in plasma concentrations follow the appearance and rise of certain of 25 the pharmacodynamic events and side effects.

It should be understood that for purposes of the present invention, the following terms have the following meanings:

25 The term "effective analgesia" is defined for purposes of the present invention as a satisfactory reduction in or elimination of pain, along with the process of a tolerable level of side effects, as determined by the human patient.

The term "effective pain management" means for purposes of the present invention as the objective evaluation of a human patient's response (pain experienced versus side effects) to analgesic treatment by a physician as well as subjective evaluation of therapeutic treatment by the patient undergoing such treatment. The skilled artisan will understand that effective analgesia will vary according to many factors, including individual patient variations.

The term "mean" in conjunction with the terms "plasma concentration", "release rate", "maximum plasma concentration", and "minimum plasma concentration" is meant to encompass multiple dosages of an individual patient, as well as a group of patients (either with respect to single dose or steady-state conditions).

With respect to embodiments of the present invention where the buprenorphine medicament is a transdermal delivery device, the removal of the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device from the skin of a patient and subsequent reapplication of the same or a different transdermal delivery device prior to the plasma level of the buprenorphine falling below a minimum effective concentration for any particular patient, for purposes of the present invention, is contemplated to be encompassed within the scope of the appended claims. For example, it is contemplated that a buprenorphine transdermal delivery device might be removed by the patient when bathing, etc., for anywhere from a few minutes to a few hours. Indeed, it has been demonstrated herein that once an effective plasma concentration of buprenorphine has been achieved transdermally, that even upon removal of the transdermal delivery device analgesically effective plasma levels of buprenorphine remain in the patient for, e.g., 24 hours. It is hypothesized that there is a skin reservoir which occurs through the use of transdermal buprenorphine delivery devices, including those demonstrated in the Examples. In cases where the transdermal delivery device is reapplied prior to complete depletion of the skin reservoir, it is preferred that the transdermal delivery device be reapplied in the same location on the patient's skin in order to replenish the skin reservoir (or "depot"). The plasma concentration curves obtained during, e.g., 7-day dosing may be explained by a small amount of buprenorphine still being released from the transdermal delivery device on day 4 through day 7 after initial application of the device onto the patient's skin (which replenishes the skin

depot), along with a continued release and continued delivery of buprenorphine from the skin depot. The balance between continued release from the device and continued delivery from the skin depot would (among other things such as distribution) determine the plasma concentration seen in any particular individual. It would also explain why the buprenorphine plasma concentrations don't precipitously drop on day 4 through, e.g., day 7 as they do when the device is removed from contact with the patient's skin. The skin depot hypothesis set forth herein would also explain why the elimination curve following patch removal is prolonged compared to what one skilled in the art would expect for the drug buprenorphine had the drug been administered intravenously. The skin depot hypothesis is proffered for explanatory purposes only and is not meant to limit the claims in any manner whatsoever.

The term "breakthrough pain" means pain which the patient experiences despite the fact that the patient is being administered generally effective amounts of, e.g., an opioid analgesic such as buprenorphine.

The term "rescue" refers to a dose of an analgesic which is administered to a patient experiencing breakthrough pain.

The term "first order" pharmacokinetics is defined as plasma concentrations which increase over a specified time period. Drug release from suspension matrices according to first order kinetics may be defined as follows:

Amount released per area unit  $Q = \sqrt{D_{eff}(2 \cdot C_0 - C_s) \cdot C_s \cdot t}$  (First order kinetics)

$D_{eff}$  = apparent diffusion coefficient  $\sqrt{D_{eff}} = 2 \cdot C_0 \cdot \sqrt{D_{eff}}$

$C_0$  = initial drug concentration in the transdermal delivery device

$C_s$  = saturation concentration

$t$  = time

25

Assumptions: perfect sink; diffusion of dissolved drug is rate controlling; therefore

$$Q \approx \text{const} \cdot \sqrt{t}$$

Drug release from solution matrices according to first order kinetics may be defined as follows:

$$\text{Amount released per area unit } Q = \sqrt{2 \cdot C_0 (D_{eff} \cdot t)} \quad (\text{First order kinetics})$$

5

*Assumptions: perfect sink; diffusion of dissolved drug is rate controlling;  $M_t \leq 0.4M_0$ , therefore  $Q \approx \text{const} \cdot \sqrt{t}$*

10

The term "zero order" pharmacokinetics contemplates an amount of drug released from a buprenorphine formulation which substantially maintains plasma concentrations at a relatively constant level. For purposes of the present invention, a relatively constant plasma concentration is defined as a concentration which does not decrease more than about 30% over a 48 hour time period.

Drug release from membrane-controlled devices may be defined as follows:

15

$$\text{Amount released per area unit } Q = \text{const} \text{ (zero order kinetics)}$$

20

The term "mean relative release rate" is determined from the amount of drug released per unit time from the transdermal delivery device through the skin and into the bloodstream of a human patient. Mean relative release rate may be expressed, e.g., as  $\mu\text{g drug/cm}^2/\text{hr}$ . For example, a transdermal delivery device that releases 1.2 mg of buprenorphine over a time period of 72 hours is considered to have a relative release rate of 16.67  $\mu\text{g/hr}$ . For purposes of the invention, it is understood that relative release rates may change between any particular time points within a particular dosing interval, and the term therefore only reflects the overall release rate during the particular dosing interval. For purposes of the present invention, relative release rate should be considered synonymous with the term "flux rate".

25

The term "sustained release" is defined for purposes of the present invention as the release of the drug (opioid analgesic) from the transdermal formulation at such a rate that blood (e.g., plasma) concentrations (levels) are maintained within the therapeutic range (above the minimum effective analgesic concentration or "MEAC") but below toxic levels over a period of time of about 3 days or longer.

The term "steady state" means that the blood plasma concentration curve for a given drug has been substantially repeated from dose to dose.

The term "minimum effective analgesic concentration" is defined for purposes of this invention as the minimum effective therapeutic blood plasma level of the drug at which at least some pain relief is achieved in a given patient. It will be well understood by those skilled in the medical art that pain measurement is highly subjective and great individual variations may occur among patients.

For purposes of the present invention, the term "buprenorphine" shall include buprenorphine base, pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, stereoisomers thereof, ethers and esters thereof, and mixtures thereof.

The term "overage" means for the purposes of the present invention the amount of buprenorphine contained in a transdermal delivery device which is not delivered to the patient. The overage is necessary for creating a concentration gradient by means of which the active agent (e.g., buprenorphine) migrates through the layers of the transdermal dosage form to the desired site on a patient's skin.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The following drawings are illustrative of embodiments of the invention and are not meant to limit the scope of the invention as encompassed by the claims.

Figure 1 is a graphical representation of the mean plasma concentration (pg/ml) versus time (days) for Example 1;

Figure 2 is a graphical representation of pharmacodynamic variables versus time (days) for Example 1;

Figure 3 is a graphical representation of the plasma concentration (pg/ml) over time (hours) for Comparative Example A;

Figure 4 is a graphical representation of the plasma concentration (pg/ml) over time (hours) for Comparative Example B (intravenous concentrations divided by 100);

Figure 5 is a graphical representation of the plasma concentration (pg/ml) over time (hours) for Comparative Example C;

5 Figure 6 is a graphical representation pharmacodynamic variables versus time (hours) for Comparative Example A;

Figure 7 is a graphical representation pharmacodynamic variables versus time (hours) for Comparative Example B;

10 Figure 8 is a graphical representation pharmacodynamic variables versus time (hours) for Comparative Example C;

Figure 9 is a graphical representation of the plasma concentration (pg/ml) over time (hours) for Comparative Example D;

15 Figure 10 is a graphical representation of the plasma concentration (pg/ml) over time (hours) for Comparative Example E;

Figure 11 is a graphical representation of the plasma concentration (pg/ml) over time (hours) for Comparative Example F;

Figure 12 is a graphical representation pharmacodynamic variables versus time (hours) for Comparative Example D;

20 Figure 13 is a graphical representation pharmacodynamic variables versus time (hours) for Comparative Example E; and

Figure 14 is a graphical representation pharmacodynamic variables versus time (hours) for Comparative Example F.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

25

The following examples illustrate various aspects of the present invention. They are not to be construed to limit the claims in any manner whatsoever.

EXAMPLE 1

A seven day pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic study was conducted on 24 healthy human patients. The subjects were comprised of approximately an equal number of male and 5 female subjects. In this study, the buprenorphine was administered via a transdermal patch which is described in WO 96/19975.

The transdermal patch is prepared in accordance with the disclosure of WO 96/19975 for Example 1 therein as follows:

1.139g of a 47.83 w% polyacrylate solution with a selfnetting acrylate copolymers containing 10 2-ethylhexylacrylates, vinyl acetates, acrylic acid (dissolving agent:ethylacetate:heptan:isopropanol:toluol:acetylacetone in the ratio of 37:26:26:4:1), 100g laevulinic acid, 150 g oleyloate, 100g polyvinylpyrrolidone, 150g ethanol, 200g ethyl acetate and 100g buprenorphine base are homogenized. The mixture is stirred for about 2 hours and then examined visually to determine if all solid substances have been dissolved. One 15 has to control the evaporation loss by method of weighing back and makes up for the solvent with the help of ethylacetate, if necessary. Thereafter, the mixture is put onto a 420mm wide, transparent polyester foil, since the surface weight of the dried layer of paste is 80g per m<sup>2</sup>. The polyester foil which can be dissolved again with treatment of silicone serves as a protective layer. The solvent is removed by drying with heated air which is led over a moist lane. With 20 this treatment of warmth not only do solvents evaporate but the laevulinic acid melts as well. Thereafter, the sealing film is covered with a polyester foil 15  $\mu$  ab. A surface of about 16cm<sup>2</sup> is cut with the help of the appropriate cutting tool, and the rims that have been left between the individual devices are removed.

The formulation utilized for Example 1 is substantially the same as that described in 25 Example 3 of WO 96/19975, which is prepared in accordance with Example 1 and is stated therein to include 10% buprenorphine, 10% levulinic acid, 10% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 10% oleyloate, and 60% polyacrylate.

In order to achieve the nominal delivery rate of 25 ug/hr expected for the formulation of Example 1, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is about 10 mg, the active surface area is about 12.5 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size may be, e.g., about 30.6 cm<sup>2</sup>.

5 The dosing regimen was one (1) patch containing 10 mg buprenorphine base/patch reservoir applied to the subject's skin and maintained in contact with the skin for a time period of seven (7) days.

10 The adhesive patch with the medication being tested was placed on the right midaxillary line at the level of the 5th intercostal space at approximately 0800 hr on day 1. For patch application, the skin was washed with lukewarm soapy water, then rinsed with clear water and left to air dry. The skin was not rubbed while it was being washed. The application site was relatively hairless. Hair was not clipped or shaven. The patches were removed at approximately 0800 hr on day 8. Following patch removal, the patch site was not washed or rubbed until the last blood collection for that treatment period was over. Each patch was placed unfolded onto its release liner and the patch/release liner unit was placed back in the correct pouch, which was then sent to a bioanalytical laboratory for residual buprenorphine assay.

15

20 Blood sampling (10 ml at each time point) started on day 1, and continued thereafter at the following times: 1 hr (pre-dose) and at regular intervals thereafter during the 7 day dosing interval.

25 Patch site skin observations of the patch sites were performed by the investigator/staff rating the quality of the skin at the site of the actual medication reservoir of the patch at 0 hr (prior to patch placement) and 30 minutes after patch removal. The rating scale was as follows:

30 Erythema: 0=No visible redness; 1=Very slight redness (just perceptible); 2=Slight but well-defined redness; 3=Moderately intense redness; 4=Severe erythema (dark red discoloration of the skin).

35 Edema: 0=No visible reactions; 1=Very mild edema (just perceptible); 2=Mild edema (corners of the area are well defined due to noticeable swelling); 3=Moderate edema (up to 1 mm swelling in diameter); 4=Severe edema (more than 1 mm swelling in diameter, protruding over

the edges of the patch).

The following pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated:  $AUC_{(0-\text{last})}$  (pg.hr/ml) - the area under the curve from time zero to the time of last non-zero plasma buprenorphine concentration, calculated by the linear trapezoidal method;  $C_{\max}$  (pg/ml) - maximum observed plasma buprenorphine concentration over the dosing interval; if  $C_{\max}$  occurs at more than one time point,  $T_{\max}$  is defined as the time point for the first  $C_{\max}$ ; residual = buprenorphine remaining in used patches (mg/patch).

A summary of the plasma buprenorphine concentrations (provided in picograms per milliliter, or pg/ml), is set forth in Table 1 below:

10

15

20

25

TABLE 1

HOURS <sup>1</sup>	MEAN <sup>2</sup>	STD. DEV. <sup>3</sup>	CV % <sup>4</sup>
6	1.76	6.20	352.77
12	18.47	26.00	140.78
18	39.45	36.16	91.67
24	58.94	44.66	75.76
30	67.69	48.78	72.06
36	82.44	53.02	64.32
42	107.61	65.43	60.81
48	104.69	60.69	57.97
54	105.81	66.68	63.02
60	112.93	63.02	55.81
66	129.25	64.37	49.80
72	130.55	64.16	49.14
78	122.83	54.97	44.75
84	129.03	51.50	39.92

TABLE 1

HOURS <sup>1</sup>	MEAN <sup>2</sup>	STD. DEV. <sup>3</sup>	CV % <sup>4</sup>
90	139.50	68.26	48.93
96	146.70	62.76	42.78
102	130.19	57.68	44.31
108	135.49	67.72	49.98
114	150.24	71.69	47.72
120	136.22	63.62	46.70
126	130.25	57.77	44.35
132	124.78	52.82	42.34
138	138.55	58.34	42.11
144	115.23	48.30	41.92
150	116.30	49.04	42.16
156	120.07	50.88	42.38
162	117.66	52.71	44.80
168	102.00	49.92	48.94

<sup>1</sup> hours after administration of dose (e.g., application of patch)

<sup>2</sup> mean blood plasma concentration for the 24 test subjects (pg/ml)

<sup>3</sup> standard deviation of mean blood plasma concentrations-

<sup>4</sup> coefficient of variation (%)

20

The mean plasma concentrations are further depicted in Figure 1 (concentration pg/ml vs. time (days)). It is apparent from the pharmacokinetic results obtained with respect to Example 1 that the mean blood plasma concentrations rose steadily and peaked at about the 3-day time point during the dosing interval (e.g., about 72 hours after application of the patch), and thereafter surprisingly remained relatively steady throughout the remaining portion of the

25

dosing interval (e.g., to about the 7-day time point, 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval). Further, it is apparent from the buprenorphine plasma concentrations that first order kinetics were present during the first 72 hours of the dosing interval, and substantially zero order kinetics were present thereafter.

5 A summary of the pharmacokinetic parameters obtained for Example 1 are set forth in Table 2 below:

TABLE 2					
	MEAN	STD. DEV.	GEOMETRIC MEAN	CV %	
10	AUC (0-168 hrs)	17740.68	7503.50	16263.88	42.30
	Cmax (pg/ml)	184.80	68.84	171.78	37.25
	Tmax (hrs)	110.50	26.48		23.96

15 The following pharmacodynamic parameters were assessed 5 minutes prior to each blood collection by having each patient respond to several questions by placing a vertical mark at the appropriate spot on a 100 mm visual analog scale ("VAS") anchored on one end by "not at all" and on the other end by "an awful lot". The first question asked to the subjects was "Do you feel any effect of the drug?" After the patient marked his/her response on the VAS 20 to this question, responses were obtained via the VAS as to whether the subjects had experienced (i) nausea, (ii) dizziness, and (iii) sleepiness. The results are set forth in Table 3. All pharmacodynamic parameters were summarized and tabulated. Then a mixed (linear or non-linear) effect was used to model the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic relationships. The results concerning pharmacodynamic parameters (VAS) are set forth in Figure 2.

25

TABLE 3								
SUMMARY OF SEVERITY FOR THE MOST COMMONLY REPORTED (>=10% OF SUBJECTS) ADVERSE EVENTS (RELATED TO TREATMENT)								
5 (N=24)								
	MILD		MODERATE		SEVERE		TOTAL	
	N	(%)	N	(%)	N	(%)	N	(%)
CONSTIPATION	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	12.5
DIZZINESS	8	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	33.3
HEADACHE	7	29.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	7	29.2
NAUSEA	6	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	25.0
RASH	20	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	83.3
SOMNOLENCE	11	45.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	45.8
VOMITING	2	8.3	1	4.2	0	0.0	3	12.5

15

As can be seen from the results set forth in Table 3, there was only one incident of a moderate adverse event, and no incidents of severe adverse events reported by the test subjects during the application interval. Further, turning to Figure 2, it can be seen that the level of dizziness, nausea and sleepiness significantly decreased after day 3 of the dosage interval. Other side effects such as headache, vomiting and constipation were also low in occurrence.

Table 4 provides a summary of the amount of drug which was measured as remaining in the patches which were removed from the subjects after 7 days.

TABLE 4	
AMOUNT LEFT IN PATCH (mg)	
MEAN	8.59
SE	0.11
% RELEASED (ASSAY)	
MEAN	14.02
SE	1.08

10

#### COMPARATIVE EXAMPLES A-C

A three (3) treatment, randomized, crossover study was conducted in normal volunteers. The treatments consisted of Comparative Example A (a single application buprenorphine transdermal delivery device); Comparative Example B ( a single dose of buprenorphine administered intravenously) and Comparative Example C (3 sequential applications, every three days, of the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device used in Comparative Example A).

A 10-14 day washout period intervened between the first dosing (application) day of each treatment. For the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device, the wash-out started when the third sequential patch was removed. This study was not analytically blinded due to analytical chemistry considerations and different sampling times.

The buprenorphine transdermal delivery device (patch) used in Comparative Examples A and C contained 20 mg buprenorphine base, and is prepared in accordance with Example 1. It was contemplated that the buprenorphine patch of Comparative Examples A and C would provide approximately double the dose and approximately double the relative release rate as compared to the buprenorphine patch of Example 1. For Comparative Examples A and C, it was contemplated that approximately 1.2 mg buprenorphine would be released from the patch per day, which is equivalent to an intravenous dose of 0.3 mg every 6 hours. The reference buprenorphine intravenous injection (Comparative Example B) was 0.3 mg (Temgesic®)

Injectable 0.3 mg/ml, [1 ml/vial]).

In Comparative Example A, the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device (single dose) was adhered to a relatively hairless area of a subject's right thorax at the level of the fifth intercostal space in the midaxillary line at approximately 8 am on day 1 and removed at approximately 8 am on day 4. For Comparative Example A (buprenorphine transdermal delivery device single dose), blood sampling was conducted as follows: Day 1: 0, (buprenorphine transdermal delivery device adhered) 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 16 hr; Day 2: 0, 6, 12 hr; Day 3: 0, 12 hr; Day 4: 0 (prior to removal), 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 6, 12 hr post-removal; Day 5: 0, 12 hr; Day 6: 0, 12 hr; Day 7: 0 hr

With respect to Comparative Example B, buprenorphine intravenous (IV) injection, 0.3 mg was infused over 2 minutes at approximately 8 am on day 1 through an in-dwelling cannula in the right anticubital vein. The buprenorphine intravenous 0.3 mg blood sampling was conducted as follows: Day 1: 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 45 minutes and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 24 hr; arterial blood sampling (left radial artery) for the first 4 hours; venous blood sampling from 2 hours post-dose to 24 hours post-dose. Therefore arterial and venous blood sampling occurred simultaneously 2, 3 and 4 hours post-dose.

With respect to Comparative Example C, the buprenorphine transdermal delivery device (3 sequential applications), was adhered to a relatively hairless area of a subject's right thorax at the level of the fifth intercostal space in the midaxillary line at approximately 8 am on day 1 and removed at approximately 8 am on day 4. The second buprenorphine transdermal delivery device 50 µg/hr was placed just adjacent to the first patch after the first was removed on day 4 at approximately 8 am and removed on day 7 at approximately 8 am. The third buprenorphine transdermal delivery device 50 µg/hr was placed just adjacent to the second patch but not in the same place as the first patch after the second patch is removed on day 7 at approximately 8 am and removed on day 10 at approximately 8 am. Blood samples for Comparative Example C, buprenorphine transdermal delivery device 3 sequential applications, were obtained as follows: Day 1: 0, (buprenorphine transdermal delivery device adhered), 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 16 hr; Day 2: 0, 6, 12 hr; Day 3: 0, 12 hr; Day 4: 0 (prior

to removal), and 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16 hrs (after second buprenorphine transdermal delivery device adhered); Day 5: 0, 6, 12 hr; Day 6: 0, 12 hr; Day 7: 0 (prior to removal), and 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 16 hrs (after third buprenorphine transdermal delivery device adhered); Day 8: 0, 6, 12 hr; Day 9: 0, 12 hr; Day 10: 0 (prior to buprenorphine transdermal delivery device removal), and .25, .5, .75, 1, 2, 3, 6, 12 hr (post-removal); the wash-out period started after patch removal on Day 10; Day 11: 0, 12 hr; Day 12: 0, 12 hr; and Day 13: 0.

The pharmacokinetic variables determined for Comparative Examples A-C were as follows:

$AUC_{(0\text{-last})}$ : pg·hr/ml - The area under the curve, as calculated by the linear trapezoidal method, up to the last observed value;

$AUC_{\text{inf}}$ : pg·hr/ml - The area under the curve, calculated using the linear trapezoidal method;

$C_{\text{max}}$ : pg/ml - Maximum measured plasma buprenorphine over the time span specified;  
 $T_{\text{max}}$ : hrs - Time of the maximum measured plasma buprenorphine; when the maximum value occurs in more than one time point,  $T_{\text{max}}$  is defined as the first time point with this value;

$T_{(1/2)\text{elm}}$ : The plasma half life of buprenorphine elimination, defined as  $\ln 2/K_{\text{elm}}$ , where  $K_{\text{elm}}$  is the apparent first order elimination constant. The elimination rate constant was obtained from the slope of the terminal portion of the plasma-concentration time curve determined by regression analysis techniques;

$T_{(1/2)\text{abs}}$ : The absorption half life of transdermal buprenorphine elimination, defined as  $\ln 2/K_{\text{abs}}$ , where  $K_{\text{abs}}$  is the apparent first order absorption constant. Absorption rate was calculated only for the transdermal buprenorphine;

$Cl$ : ml/min or l/hr - Total clearance characterizes the clearing of the hypothetical plasma volume of drug per unit time;

$V_d$ : l or l/kg - Hypothetical volumes in which the drug is distributed in the body; and  
Absorption Rate:  $\mu\text{g}/\text{hr}$  - The rate at which buprenorphine enters the systemic circulation.

Plasma concentration data was analyzed using standard noncompartmental and compartmental techniques to derive pharmacokinetic parameters. In addition, various exploratory methods including fitting the intravenous data to pharmacokinetic models to determine which model best describes the data, and deconvolution analysis to determine the absorption rate was employed. Other parameters such as clearance, volumes of distribution, absorption rate, amount absorbed and bioavailability were determined by either standard noncompartmental or compartmental analysis or exploratory methods.

The intravenous data was also analyzed utilizing compartmental modeling techniques.

A summary of plasma buprenorphine concentrations for Comparative Example A is provided in Table 5 below:

TABLE 5 - Comparative Example A

HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
2	2.04	5.87	287.10
3	7.96	16.28	204.47
4	14.84	18.63	125.51
6	23.49	25.81	109.85
8	42.34	37.91	89.52
10	72.03	71.36	99.07
12	85.96	68.69	79.90
16	133.89	103.43	77.25
24	175.58	120.17	68.44
30	169.15	108.65	64.23
36	200.16	134.45	67.17
48	251.10	156.66	62.39

TABLE 5 - Comparative Example A			
HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
60	250.11	125.01	49.98
72	286.50	131.58	45.92
78	168.73	61.26	36.30
84	114.68	52.72	45.97
96	90.75	39.12	43.11
108	56.82	25.66	45.17
120	44.85	23.80	53.06
132	30.40	21.87	71.95
144	29.14	20.27	69.58

10

A summary of plasma buprenorphine concentrations (pg/ml) for Comparative Example C at each sampling time is set forth in Table 6 below:

TABLE 6 - Comparative Example C			
HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
2	0.54	2.63	489.90
3	5.70	13.18	231.23
4	10.33	14.64	141.71
6	28.84	31.19	108.13
8	54.62	65.83	120.52
10	78.92	81.23	102.93
12	95.14	75.70	79.57

15

20

TABLE 6 - Comparative Example C

HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
16	162.26	114.80	70.75
24	218.57	153.58	70.27
30	206.10	141.70	68.75
36	205.08	110.76	54.01
48	265.04	123.66	46.66
60	256.18	133.48	52.11
72	306.02	152.77	49.92
74	278.22	135.14	48.57
75	245.91	112.66	45.82
76	237.01	83.41	35.19
78	213.54	94.42	44.22
80	215.45	103.75	48.15
82	216.00	107.68	49.85
84	210.52	107.67	51.14
88	219.77	110.46	50.26
96	269.91	134.61	49.87
102	205.54	102.03	49.64
108	225.11	87.97	39.08
120	310.27	153.57	49.50
132	300.34	157.05	52.29
144	305.99	159.75	52.21
146	301.39	141.37	46.91

TABLE 6 - Comparative Example C

	HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
15	147	289.96	132.91	45.84
5	148	287.68	151.93	52.81
10	150	260.04	130.19	50.07
15	152	236.61	119.77	50.62
20	154	284.15	158.84	55.90
	156	271.83	145.11	53.38
	160	303.46	182.37	60.10
	168	340.71	209.87	61.60
	174	302.22	179.74	59.47
	180	322.67	183.63	56.91
	192	395.95	220.27	55.63
	204	344.83	201.90	58.55
	216	415.33	229.92	55.36
	216.25	388.64	186.67	48.03
	216.50	390.97	208.34	53.29
	216.75	392.63	188.89	48.11
	217	399.51	197.86	49.53
	218	312.65	173.12	55.37
	219	295.17	148.13	50.18
	222	201.37	85.54	42.48
	228	173.89	75.96	43.68
	240	119.13	48.99	41.13

15

5

TABLE 6 - Comparative Example C			
HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
252	84.21	49.61	58.91
264	72.33	37.86	52.42
276	50.18	25.83	51.47
288	43.06	26.61	61.79

A summary of mean plasma buprenorphine concentrations (pg/ml) at each sampling time for Comparative Example B (buprenorphine intravenous 0.3 mg single dose) is provided in Table 7 below:

10

15

20

TABLE 7 - Comparative Example B			
HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
0.02	14812.04	11319.10	76.42
0.03	31052.04	16156.81	52.03
0.05	24547.00	16461.86	67.06
0.08	6418.80	1976.26	30.79
0.17	3360.76	2457.58	73.13
0.25	1747.96	465.81	26.65
0.33	1210.08	219.28	18.12
0.42	1050.00	242.10	23.06
0.50	931.52	207.25	22.25
0.75	692.92	175.29	25.30

TABLE 7 - Comparative Example B			
HOUR	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
1.00	584.40	148.93	25.48
1.50	457.44	131.44	28.73
2.00	335.12	79.36	23.68
3.00	238.80	63.03	26.39
4.00	170.87	49.84	29.17

5 A summary of the mean maximum concentration (C<sub>max</sub>) for Comparative Examples A-C measured in pg/ml is set forth in Table 8 below:

TABLE 8 - C <sub>max</sub> Values for Comparative Examples A - C		
	Comparative Example A	Comparative Example C
Mean	318.20	477.33
Std. Dev.	151.24	216.92
Geometric Mean	291.13	435.50
CV %	47.53	45.44
C <sub>max</sub> (pg/ml) - Comparative Example B		
Mean	38635.56	
Std. Dev.	14499.55	
Geometric Mean	35251.92	
CV %	37.53	

10 15 20 A summary of mean T<sub>max</sub> values obtained for Comparative Examples A - C is set forth in Table 9 below:

TABLE 9		
Tmax Prior to Patch Removal (hrs)		
Comparative Example A		Comparative Example C
Mean	61.92 (out of 72 hrs total)	168.39 (out of 260 hrs total)
Std. Dev.	13.27	42.68
CV %	21.43	25.35
Tmax (hrs)		
Comparative Example B		
Mean	0.04	
Std. Dev.	0.01	
CV %	26.26	

Table 10 provides a summary of the area under the curve (AUC) (0-t) for Comparative Examples A-C:

15

TABLE 10			
Comparative Example A		Comparative Example C	Comparative Example B
Mean	18,829.13	65,217.25	3,699.91
Std. Dev.	9,136.12	31,124.37	526.64
Geometric Mean	16,760.39	57,794.90	3,666.65
CV %	48.52	47.72	14.23

5

The pharmacodynamics were determined via VAS "drug effect" observations. The subject was asked "do you feel any effect of the drug?". The subject then rated the item by placing a vertical mark along a 100 mm visual analog scale (VAS) anchored on one end by "not at all" and on the other end by "an awful lot". The "drug effect" question was assessed just prior to each blood sample during the study. The following adverse effects were elicited just prior to blood sampling using the VAS: nausea; dizziness; and sleepiness. Asymmetric blood sampling was used in this study due to the number of sampling times.

10

The pharmacokinetic results (concentration in pg/ml vs. hours) for Comparative Examples A-C are depicted in Figures 3 - 5, respectively. Figure 4 depicts the plasma concentration obtained divided by 100. The pharmacodynamic results (PD variables (VAS)) for Comparative Examples A-C are depicted in Figures 6 - 8, respectively.

15

With respect to Figure 5, assuming that a buprenorphine plasma concentration of 100 pg/ml is a minimally effective concentration, the curve is demonstrative of the skin depot effect described herein. Examining the end of the curve from the time point at which the transdermal delivery device is removed from the patients' skin, it appears that buprenorphine plasma levels at or above 100 pg/ml are maintained for approximately an additional 24 hours (i.e., from 216 hours to 240 hours). Accordingly, it may be reasoned that there is analgesia for an additional 24 hours after removal of the device from the patient's skin. Certainly, there is a buprenorphine plasma concentration that exists during that time period that one skilled in the art would associate with analgesia. In addition, given the receptor binding properties of this drug and the fact that it has been reported that the clinical half-life of this drug can be multiple days, it is possible that analgesia can be maintained for even longer than 7 days (e.g., about 10 days), assuming that the device is removed from the skin 7 days after initial application.

20

25

**COMPARATIVE EXAMPLES D-F**

The bioequivalence between a buprenorphine transdermal delivery device in accordance with Example 1 is compared to identically prepared patches having different sizes and therefore different amounts of buprenorphine contained therein.

Comparative Example D utilized a patch identical in size and containing the same amount of buprenorphine as Example 1. The total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is 10 mg, the active surface area is 12.5 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size is 30.6 cm<sup>2</sup>. In Comparative Example E, two patches are utilized, each patch including total of buprenorphine of about 5 mg, and having an active surface area of 6.25 cm<sup>2</sup> and a patch size of 19.4 cm<sup>2</sup>.

Comparative Example F allows for the determination of the dose proportionality of a buprenorphine transdermal delivery device (patch) having twice the dose as compared to Example 1. In Comparative Example F, the total of buprenorphine included in the transdermal patch is 20 mg, the active surface area is 25 cm<sup>2</sup> and the patch size is 51.8 cm<sup>2</sup>. The study was conducted via a 3-way cross-over design. The patches were left in place for 72 hours and then removed.

Table 11 provides a summary of mean plasma buprenorphine concentrations (pg/ml) at each sampling time for Comparative Example D:

TABLE 11

HOURS	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV	CV %
3	1.92	8.82	458.26
6	22.69	30.98	136.54
9	38.54	48.79	126.62
12	59.22	62.92	106.24
16	89.85	78.93	87.84

20

TABLE 11

HOURS	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV.	CV %	
24	128.70	72.79	56.55	
30	125.99	84.68	67.21	
36	143.07	78.40	54.80	
48	196.72	101.50	51.59	
5	60	182.72	82.61	45.21
72	169.95	65.04	38.27	
84	122.19	41.69	34.12	
96	83.30	35.56	42.69	
108	55.09	30.82	55.94	
10	120	41.63	20.74	49.82
132	27.14	25.47	93.84	
144	17.54	20.09	114.51	

10

15

Table 12 provides a summary of the pharmacokinetic parameters for Comparative Example D:

20

TABLE 12

PARAMETER	ARITHMETIC MEAN (SE)	GEOMETRIC MEAN (SE)
AUC (0-Infinity)	16278.05 (1246.6)	15255.84 (1272.5)
AUC (0-Last)	14446.10 (1292.0)	13162.96 (1340.6)
Cmax (pg/ml)	229.87 (19.29)	214.47 (17.92)
T ½ Elim. (hrs)	30.53 (2.80)	
Tmax (hrs)	67.02 (3.14)	

Table 13 provides a summary of mean plasma buprenorphine concentrations for Comparative Example E:

TABLE 13			
HOURS	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV.	CV %
3	1.63	7.29	447.21
6	19.61	33.28	169.70
9	29.09	44.04	151.40
12	44.43	56.91	128.09
16	59.77	66.25	110.86
24	110.49	98.86	89.48
30	107.58	86.83	80.71
36	116.36	83.01	71.34
48	154.35	83.40	54.03
60	151.22	90.70	59.98
72	145.20	62.84	43.28
84	106.91	38.86	36.35
96	82.61	34.87	42.21
108	44.83	26.74	59.65
120	29.68	24.26	81.73
132	22.52	24.42	108.44
144	9.24	17.28	186.93

Table 14 provides a summary of the pharmacokinetic parameters for Comparative

Example E:

5

PARAMETER	ARITHMETIC	GEOMETRIC
	MEAN (SE)	MEAN (SE)
AUC (0-Infinity)	13450.96 (1326.8)	12315.56 (1142.0)
AUC (0-Last)	12026.65 (1318.7)	10796.23 (1110.3)
Cmax (pg/ml)	199.10 (17.50)	186.49 (14.69)
T ½ Elim. (hrs)	25.82 (1.51)	
Tmax (hrs)	68.26 (3.18)	

10

Table 15 provides a summary of mean plasma buprenorphine concentrations for Comparative Example F:

15

HOURS	MEAN PLASMA	STD. DEV.	CV %
	CONC. (pg/ml)		
3	5.23	13.21	252.44
6	34.49	55.11	159.80
9	58.67	91.17	155.40
20	94.52	111.07	117.51
16	137.07	118.65	86.56
24	195.58	148.53	75.94
30	201.51	142.24	70.59
36	229.52	154.25	67.20

15

TABLE 15

HOURS	MEAN PLASMA CONC. (pg/ml)	STD. DEV.	CV %
48	283.35	124.06	43.78
60	314.17	173.81	55.32
72	306.60	124.57	40.63
84	209.66	62.84	29.97
96	143.30	43.88	30.62
108	113.53	70.33	61.95
120	78.71	37.46	47.59
132	75.29	47.92	63.64
144	44.45	32.26	72.57

10

Table 16 provides a summary of the dose-corrected pharmacokinetic parameters for Comparative Example F. The values are calculated based on a Cmax value which is one-half the actual reported value:

15

TABLE 16

PARAMETER	ARITHMETIC MEAN (SE)	GEOMETRIC MEAN (SE)
AUC (0-Infinity)	14761.59 (1469.7)	13540.78 (1228.3)
AUC (0-Last)	12558.04 (1313.9)	11456.76 (1067.0)
Cmax (pg/ml)	191.84 (16.93)	179.60 (14.23)
T 1/2 Elim. (hrs)	26.59 (1.52)	
Tmax (hrs)	72.37 (1.89)	

20

Table 17 provides a summary of the buprenorphine patch residuals for each of Comparative Examples D-F.

TABLE 17			
SUMMARY OF BUPRENORPHINE PATCH RESIDUALS			
	Ex. D	Ex. F	Ex. E
AMOUNT LEFT IN PATCH (mg)			
N	27	27	52
MEAN	8.76	18.31	4.75
SE	0.07	0.15	0.03
% RELEASED (ASSAY)			
N	27	27	52
MEAN	12.31	10.84	8.43
SE	0.67	0.73	0.53

The pharmacokinetic results (concentration in pg/ml vs. hours) for Comparative Examples D-F are depicted in Figures 9 - 11, respectively. The pharmacodynamic results (PD variables (VAS)) for Comparative Examples A-C are depicted in Figures 12 - 14, respectively.

## CONCLUSIONS

In order to readily consider the results obtained comparing the method of the present invention to the Comparative Examples, the following tables are provided.

Table 18 provides a direct comparison of the plasma concentrations obtained from Example 1 (a 10 mg buprenorphine patch maintained in contact with the subjects' skin for 7 days) to Comparative Example A (20 mg buprenorphine patch left on the subjects' skin for only 3 days, then removed) to Comparative Example C (three sequential applications of a 20 mg buprenorphine patch left on the subjects' skin for only 3 days, then removed). In order to compare the plasma concentrations, the plasma concentrations of Comparative Examples A

and C are also presented at 50% concentrations for each time interval:

TABLE 18					
COMPARISON OF PLASMA CONCENTRATIONS					
5 HOUR/ (DAY)	Ex. 1	COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE C		COMPARATIVE EXAMPLE A	
		MEAN	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
24 (1)	58.94	218.57	109.29	175.58	87.79
48 (2)	104.69	265.04	132.52	251.10	125.55
72 (3)	130.55	306.02	153.01	286.50	143.25
10 96 (4)	146.70	269.91	134.96	90.75	45.38
120 (5)	136.22	310.27	155.14	44.85	22.43
144 (6)	115.23	305.99	153.00	29.14	14.57
168 (7)	102.00	340.71	170.36		
15 192 (8)		395.95	197.98		

The data presented in Table 18 shows that, surprisingly, plasma levels effective to provide analgesia were present in Example 1 (patch remained on skin for 7 days) even 7 days after application of the patch; whereas in Comparative Example A (patch removed after 3 days), blood levels fell dramatically once the patch was removed, such that plasma levels which would be indicative of ineffective treatment for the dosage of buprenorphine occurred not long after patch removal. On the other hand, turning to Comparative Example C, it is apparent that the plasma levels obtained from 3-day sequential administration of the buprenorphine patch resulted in significant increases in Cmax levels during each day dosing interval. This fact is confirmed by the graph of plasma concentration over time for Comparative Example C provided in Figure 3. In contrast, the plasma level for Example 1 remained substantially level

over the time-frame of 72 hours-168 hours after patch application. The results indicate that the method of the present invention has the surprising benefit of reducing total plasma concentrations of buprenorphine required to allow patients to experience effective analgesia. Furthermore, comparing the VAS results graphically depicted for Example 1 to Comparative 5 Example C, it is apparent that side effects were significantly reduced according to the method of Example 1, during the 7-day dosage interval. Further benefits are obtained from the invention with respect to modes of administration other than transdermally where the large plasma concentration peaks obtained in the prior art, e.g., through intravenous dosing, can be avoided. For example, in Comparative Example B, a Cmax in excess of about 30,000 pg/ml 10 was obtained.

Table 19 provides a direct comparison of the plasma concentrations of Example 1 (a 10 mg buprenorphine patch maintained in contact with the subjects' skin for 7 days) to Comparative Example D (same 10 mg buprenorphine patch left on the subjects' skin for only 3 days, then removed) to Comparative Example E (two 5 mg buprenorphine patches left on 15 the subjects' skin for only 3 days, then removed):

TABLE 19  
COMPARISON OF PLASMA CONCENTRATIONS (PG/ML)

Hours (Post-Application)	Ex. 1	Ex. D	Ex. E
	MEAN CONC.	MEAN CONC.	MEAN CONC.
3		1.92	1.63
6	1.76	22.69	19.61
9		38.54	29.09
12	18.47	59.22	44.43
16		89.85	59.77
24	58.94	128.70	110.49
30	67.69	125.99	107.58

TABLE 19			
COMPARISON OF PLASMA CONCENTRATIONS (PG/ML)			
Hours (Post-Application)	Ex. 1	Ex. D	Ex. E
	MEAN CONC.	MEAN CONC.	MEAN CONC.
20	36	82.44	143.07
	48	104.69	196.72
	60	112.93	182.72
	72	130.55	169.95
	84	129.03	122.19
	96	146.70	83.30
	108	135.49	55.09
	120	136.22	41.63
	132	124.78	27.14
	144	115.23	17.54
5			106.91
10			82.61
			44.83
			29.68
			22.52
			9.24

The results depicted in Table 19 confirm that the method according to the present invention provides effective plasma levels over the 7-day period; whereas if the patch (or patches) containing the same dose is removed after 3 days, the buprenorphine plasma levels fall precipitously over the next 24 hour interval to levels which would be indicative of ineffective treatment for the dosage of buprenorphine. This result is surprising in view of the fact that the patches are designed to provide effective analgetic levels of buprenorphine only for a three day period - these patches are not designed to provide effective plasma levels of buprenorphine over a substantially longer period of time. (It must be noted that the absolute mean plasma levels of Example 1 and the Comparative Examples are not directly comparable because these results are taken from different studies involving different subjects, etc.).

Further surprising results are apparent from the data provided in Table 20 below, which compares the amount of buprenorphine retained in the transdermal delivery devices in Example

1 to certain Comparative Examples, as well as their relative release rates:

TABLE 20					
BUPRENORPHINE PATCH RELEASE RATES					
Patch strength	Example	cum. amt. released [mg]	RR[mg/patch/day] 3 days appl.	RR[mg/patch/day] 7 days appl.	RR <sub>norm</sub> [mg/cm <sup>2</sup> /day]
5 MG	E	0.44 mg	0.146	--	0.0234
10 MG	D	1.23 mg	0.410	--	0.0328
20 MG	F	2.52 mg	0.742	--	0.0297
20 MG	A,C	3.21 mg	1.090	--	0.0437
10 MG	1	1.40 mg	--	0.200	0.160

RR = relative release rate

The total amount of buprenorphine released for Example 1 (1.40 mg) may be expressed as 0.2 mg buprenorphine administered per day, when averaged over the seven day dosing interval. In contrast, Comparative Example E (same patch over 3 days) released a total of 1.23 mg, which may be expressed as 0.41 mg buprenorphine administered per day. Comparing the cumulative amount released for Example 1 as compared to Comparative Example D, it can be seen that the present invention results in one-half the dose (mg/patch/day) which would be administered based on prior art methodology. Further, it is apparent that almost all of the buprenorphine dose for Example 1 is released over the first 72 hours (3 days) - 1.23 mg released from the 10 mg patch over 3 days is 87.86% of the 1.4 mg released from the same patch over 7 days. It is surprising that analgesia can be maintained given the very low amount of buprenorphine released from the 10 mg patch over the 72-168 hour dosing interval.

Further, the results indicate that over the first 72 hours the buprenorphine is released substantially according to first order kinetics, whereas during the 72-168 hour time period after administration, the buprenorphine is released substantially according to zero order kinetics. This is confirmed from the plasma concentration curve provided for Example 1 in Figure 1. Thus, during the first 72 hours after administration according to the invention, a relative release rate of 17.1 ug/hr is obtained (1.23 mg divided by 72 hours); whereas from 72-168

hours after administration according to the invention, the relative release rate may be lowered to only 1.77 ug/hr (1.40 mg minus 1.23 mg = 0.17 mg divided by 96 hours) while maintaining effective analgetic levels of buprenorphine in human patients.

5

### EXAMPLE 2

In Example 2, the method of the present invention is accomplished via a different mode of administration, i.e., intravenous infusion. The pattern of plasma concentrations seen through time in this invention can be achieved by using an intravenous infusion using the 10 injectable, parenteral form of, e.g., buprenorphine hydrochloride suitably diluted in an intravenous infusion solution. The infusion rate would be controlled by a programmable infusion pump, to provide the desired plasma concentration profile. The rate of infusion through time can be determined and adjusted based upon pharmacodynamic parameters such as pupil size 15 (pupilometry) or pain relief (analgesia) or by the results of a suitable bioassay to determine the plasma buprenorphine concentrations at any particular point in time. In addition, it is possible to model the desired curve using pharmacokinetic modeling techniques; in this way the desired curve can be approximated without need for pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic monitoring. However, periodic plasma concentration determinations would make the model more accurate 20 and allow further adjustment of the infusion rate.

20

Following the method set forth above, mean plasma concentrations are obtained as follows: a mean plasma concentration from about 1 to about 28 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 14 to about 74 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 30 to about 161 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 51 to about 188 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 62 to about 246 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 79 to about 246 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma 25

concentration from about 85 to about 263 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 92 to about 263 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 94 to about 263 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; a mean plasma concentration from about 86 to about 243 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and a mean plasma concentration from about 77 to about 210 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval (for a seven day dosing interval).

It will be readily apparent that various modifications to the invention may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope of this invention. For example, many different transdermal delivery devices may be utilized in order to obtain the relative release rates and plasma levels described herein. Further, it is possible that mean values for plasma concentrations over a particular patient population for a particular described time point along the dosing interval may vary from the plasma concentration ranges described herein for that time point. Such obvious modifications are considered to be within the scope of the appended claims.

**CLAIMS**

1. The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising applying a transdermal delivery device containing buprenorphine as the active ingredient onto the skin of patients which provide a first order release rate of buprenorphine over a three-day dosing interval, such that a maximum plasma concentration from about 20 pg/ml to about 1052 pg/ml is attained, and maintaining said transdermal delivery device on the skin of the patients for at least an additional two-day dosing interval during which said transdermal delivery device provides substantially zero order kinetics, such that the patients experience analgesia throughout the at least two-day additional dosing interval.
2. The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising administering buprenorphine to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:
  - a mean plasma concentration from about 0.3 to about 113 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;
  - a mean plasma concentration from about 3 to about 296 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;
  - a mean plasma concentration from about 7 to about 644 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;
  - a mean plasma concentration from about 13 to about 753 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 16 to about 984 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 984 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 19 to about 1052 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

3. The medicament of claim 2, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 22 to about 970 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean plasma concentration from about 19 to about 841 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

4. The medicament of claim 2, which is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:

a mean plasma concentration from about 1 to about 28 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 14 to about 74 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 30 to about 161 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 51 to about 188 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 62 to about 246 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 79 to about 246 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 85 to about 263 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 77 to about 263 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

5. The medicament of claim 4, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 92 to about 263 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 94 to about 263 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 86 to about 243 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean plasma concentration from about 77 to about 210 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

6. The medicament of claim 2, which is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:

a mean plasma concentration from about 0.3 to about 7 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 4 to about 19 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 7 to about 40 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 13 to about 47 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 16 to about 62 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 20 to about 62 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 21 to about 66 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 19 to about 66 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

7. The medicament of claim 6, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to about 66 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 23 to about 66 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 22 to about 61 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean plasma concentration from about 19 to about 53 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

8. The medicament of claim 2, which is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:

a mean plasma concentration from about 0.7 to about 14 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 7 to about 37 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 15 to about 80 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 25 to about 94 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 31 to about 123 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 40 to about 123 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 42 to about 132 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 38 to about 132 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

9. The medicament of claim 8, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 46 to about 132 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 47 to about 132 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 43 to about 121 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean plasma concentration from about 38 to about 105 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

10. The medicament of claim 2, which is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:

a mean plasma concentration from about 3 to about 57 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 28 to about 148 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 59 to about 322 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 102 to about 377 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 124 to about 492 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 159 to about 492 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 169 to about 526 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 153 to about 526 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

11. The medicament of claim 10, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 184 to about 526 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 187 to about 526 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 173 to about 485 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 153 to about 420 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

12. The medicament of claim 2, which is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:

a mean plasma concentration from about 4 to about 85 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 42 to about 222 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 89 to about 483 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 152 to about 565 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 186 to about 738 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 238 to about 738 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 254 to about 789 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 230 to about 789 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

13. The medicament of claim 12, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 276 to about 789 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 281 to about 789 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 259 to about 727 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 230 to about 630 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

14. The medicament of claim 2, which is administered to human patients in a manner such that the following mean plasma concentrations are achieved over a 72 hour dosing interval:

a mean plasma concentration from about 5 to about 113 pg/ml at about 6 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 55 to about 296 pg/ml at about 12 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 118 to about 644 pg/ml at about 24 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 203 to about 753 pg/ml at about 36 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 247 to about 984 pg/ml at about 48 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 317 to about 984 pg/ml at about 60 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 339 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 72 hours after initiation of the dosing interval; and

thereafter administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained from about 306 to about 1052 pg/ml over at least the next 48 hours.

15. The medicament of claim 14, further comprising administering the buprenorphine in a manner such that the mean plasma concentrations are maintained as follows:

a mean plasma concentration from about 369 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 96 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 374 to about 1052 pg/ml at about 120 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 346 to about 970 pg/ml at about 144 hours after initiation of the dosing interval;

a mean plasma concentration from about 306 to about 841 pg/ml at about 168 hours after initiation of the dosing interval.

16. The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising administering buprenorphine transdermally to human patients such that mean relative release rates are achieved over a dosing interval as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 3 ug/hr to about 86 ug/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 0.3 ug/hr to about 9 ug/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

17. The medicament of claim 16, wherein the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 3 ug/hr to about 5 ug/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 0.3 ug/hr to about 0.6 ug/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

18. The medicament of claim 16, wherein the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 6 ug/hr to about 11 ug/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 0.7 ug/hr to about 1 ug/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

19. The medicament of claim 16, wherein the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 13 ug/hr to about 21 ug/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 1 ug/hr to about 2 ug/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

20. The medicament of claim 16, wherein the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 26 ug/hr to about 43 ug/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 3 ug/hr to about 4 ug/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

21. The medicament of claim 16, wherein the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 39 ug/hr to about 64 ug/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 4 ug/hr to about 7 ug/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

22. The medicament of claim 16, wherein the mean relative release rates achieved over the dosing interval are as follows:

a mean relative release rate of from about 51  $\mu$ g/hr to about 86  $\mu$ g/hr from the initiation of the dosing interval until about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval; and

a mean relative release rate of about 5  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr from about 72 hours after the initiation of the dosing interval until the end of the dosing interval.

23. The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of effectively treating pain in humans, comprising applying a transdermal delivery device containing buprenorphine as the active ingredient onto the skin of patients which releases buprenorphine in a manner indicative of first order pharmacokinetics over a first three-day dosing interval, such that a mean plasma concentration from about 21 to about 1052 pg/ml is attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device; and maintaining said transdermal delivery device on the skin of the patients for at least an additional two-day dosing interval during which said transdermal delivery device releases buprenorphine in a manner indicative of zero order pharmacokinetics, such that a mean relative release rate from about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr is maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval and the patients experience analgesia throughout the at least two-day additional dosing interval.

24. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 85 to about 263 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 13  $\mu$ g/hr to about 21  $\mu$ g/hr.

25. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 20 to about 66 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 0.3  $\mu$ g/hr to about 0.6  $\mu$ g/hr.

26. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 42 to about 132 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 0.7  $\mu$ g/hr to about 1  $\mu$ g/hr.

27. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 169 to about 526 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 2  $\mu$ g/hr to about 4  $\mu$ g/hr.

28. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 254 to about 789 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 4  $\mu$ g/hr to about 7  $\mu$ g/hr.

29. The medicament of claim 23, wherein the mean plasma concentration attained about 72 hours after application of said transdermal delivery device is from about 339 to about 1052 pg/ml; and the mean relative release rate maintained over said at least two-day additional dosing interval is from about 5  $\mu$ g/hr to about 9  $\mu$ g/hr.

30. The medicament of claims 1-22 which is selected from the group consisting of transdermal, continuous infusion, and a mixture of transdermal and continuous infusion pharmaceutical formulations.

31. The medicament of claims 1-29, wherein said medicament is a transdermal delivery device applied to the skin of a patient, and said transdermal delivery device is maintained in contact with the skin of the patient for at least 5 days.

32. The medicament of claim 31, wherein from about 68% to about 95% of the buprenorphine is contained in the transdermal delivery device at the end of the dosing interval.

33. The medicament of claim 31, wherein the Tmax occurs from about 3 to about 5 days after application of said transdermal delivery system.

34. The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of treating human patients suffering from opioid addiction by applying a transdermal delivery device containing buprenorphine onto the skin of the patient and maintaining the transdermal delivery device in contact with the skin for a 3 day dosing interval, the transdermal delivery device containing an amount of buprenorphine sufficient to maintain an adequate relative release rate to provide a plasma concentration of from about 1000 pg/ml to about 10,000 pg/ml at the end of said 3 day dosing interval, and the transdermal delivery device being maintained in contact with the skin of the patient for at least 2 to about 5 additional days beyond said 3 day dosing

interval, such that the patient continues to receive effective treatment for opioid addiction from said transdermal buprenorphine delivery device over said dosing interval.


35. The medicament of claim 34, wherein the plasma concentration attained at the end of said 3 day dosing interval is from about 5000 pg/ml to about 8000 pg/ml.

36. The use of buprenorphine in the preparation of a medicament for a method of treating human patients suffering from moderate to severe pain by applying a transdermal delivery device containing buprenorphine onto the skin of the patient and maintaining the transdermal delivery device in contact with the skin for a 3 day dosing interval, the transdermal delivery device containing an amount of buprenorphine sufficient to maintain an adequate relative release rate to provide effective analgesia in the patient for approximately only 3 days, wherein the transdermal delivery device is maintained in contact with the patient's skin for at least 2 to about 6 additional days beyond said 3 day dosing interval, such that the patient continues to experience effective analgesia.

1/5


CONCENTRATIONS(pg /ml)

FIG. 1



PD VARIABLES (VAS)

FIG. 2



2/5

FIG. 3




FIG. 4





FIG. 5



3/5

FIG. 6




FIG. 7





FIG. 8



4/5

FIG. 9




FIG. 10





FIG. 11



5/5

FIG.12




FIG.13





FIG.14



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/03584

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) : A61F 13/00

US CL : 424/449

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 424/449

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

none

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

search terms: buprenorphine, skin, transdermal, analgesia, days, weeks

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,026,556 A (DRUST et al.) 25 June 1991, column 3, line 37 through column 6, line 36.	1-36
Y	US 5,069,909 A (SHARMA et al.) 03 December 1991, column 3, line 43 through column 5, line 35.	1-36
Y	US 5,225,199 A (HIDAKA et al.) 06 July 1993, column 22, lines 29-46.	1-36
Y	US 5,240,711 A (HILLE et al.) 31 August 1993, column 2, line 46 through column 4, line 49.	1-36



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

• Special categories of cited documents:	"T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
• "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance		
• "E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"X"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
• "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y"	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
• "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&"	document member of the same patent family
• "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 SEPTEMBER 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

OCT 18 1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer  
GABRIELLE BROUILLETTE  
Telephone No. (703) 308-1235

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US98/03584

## C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,486,362 A (KITCHELL et al.) 23 January 1996, column 3, line 1 through column 4, line 3; column 6, lines 4-16; column 7, lines 25-32; column 9, line 37 through column 10, line 63.	1-36
A	US 5,132,115 A (WOLTER et al.) 21 July 1992, see entire document.	1-36
A	US 4,906,463 A (CLEARY et al.) 06 March 1990, see entire document.	1-36